

Policy 12 - Edge of Centre, Retail in Eastwood:

ID	Organisation
Duty to Co-operate / Interests Groups	
6053	British Land Company Plc (Represented by WYG)
Individual / Local Resident	

Broxtowe Part 2 Local Plan



Broxtowe
Borough
COUNCIL

Agent

Miss

Please provide your client's name	The British Land Company Plc
-----------------------------------	------------------------------

Your Details

Title	■ ■ () ■ ■
Name	■■■■■■■■■■
Organisation (if responding on behalf of the organisation)	WYG
Address	■■■■■■■■■■ ■■■■■■■■■■ ■■■■■■■■■■
Postcode	■■■■■■
Tel. Number	■■■■■■■■■■
E-mail address	■■■■■■■■■■

Comments should be received by 5.00pm on Friday 3rd November 2017

If you wish to comment on several policies, paragraphs, or sites, please use a separate form for each representation.

If you would like to be contacted by the Planning Policy Team regarding future consultations.

Please tick here

Please help us save money and the environment by providing an e-mail address that correspondence can be sent to: ■■■■■■■■■■

For more information including an **online response** form please visit:

www.broxtowe.gov.uk/part2localplan

Data Protection - The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and the Council will consider issues raised. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection. All representations can be viewed at the Council Offices.

Please return completed forms to:

Planning Policy, Legal and Planning Services, Foster Avenue, Beeston, Nottingham NG9 1AB

For more information: Tel: 0115 917 3452, 3448, 3468 or 3015 E-mail: policy@broxtowe.gov.uk

Question 1: What does your comment relate to? Please specify exactly

Document	Policy number	Page number	Policy text/ Paragraph number
Part 2 Local Plan	Policy 1: Flood Risk		
	Policy 2: Site Allocations		
	Policy 3: Main Built up Area Site Allocations		
	Policy 4: Awwsworth Site Allocation		
	Policy 5: Brinsley Site Allocation		
	Policy 6: Eastwood Site Allocation		
	Policy 7: Kimberley Site Allocations		
	Policy 8: Development in the Green Belt		
	Policy 9: Retention of good quality existing employment sites		
	Policy 10: Town Centre and District Centre Uses		
	Policy 11: The Square, Beeston		
	Policy 12: Edge-of-Centre A1 Retail in Eastwood		✓
	Policy 13: Proposals for main town centre uses in edge-of-centre and out-of-centre locations		
	Policy 14: Centre of Neighbourhood Importance (Chilwell Road / High Road)		
	Policy 15: Housing size, mix and choice		
	Policy 16: Gypsies and Travellers		
	Policy 17: Place-making, design and amenity		
	Policy 18: Shopfronts, signage and security measures		
	Policy 19: Pollution, Hazardous Substances and Ground Conditions		
	Policy 20: Air Quality		
	Policy 21: Unstable land		
	Policy 22: Minerals		
	Policy 23: Proposals affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets		
	Policy 24: The health impacts of development		
	Policy 25: Culture, Tourism and Sport		
	Policy 26: Travel Plans		
	Policy 27: Local Green Space		
	Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets		
	Policy 29: Cemetery Extensions		
	Policy 30: Landscape		
	Policy 31: Biodiversity Assets		
	Policy 32: Developer Contributions		
Policies Map	✓		
Sustainability Appraisal			
Other (e.g. omission, evidence document etc.)	Broxtowe, Gedling, Nottingham & Rushcliffe Retail Study 2015 - Carter Jonas (Sept 2015)		

Question 2: What is the issue with the Local Plan?

Do you consider this paragraph or policy of the Local Plan to be: <i>(please refer to the guidance note at for an explanation of these terms)</i>		Yes	No
2.1	Legally compliant		
2.2	Compliant with the duty to co-operate		
2.3	Sound		X

Question 3: Why is the Local Plan unsound? Please **only** answer this question if you answered 'No' to 2.3 above

If you think this paragraph or policy of the Plan is not sound, is this because:	
It is not justified	✓
It is not effective	✓
It is not positively prepared	✓
It is not consistent with national policy	✓

Your comments

Please give details of why you consider this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or does not comply with the duty to co operate. Alternatively, if you wish to support any of these aspects please provide details. Please be as precise as possible. Continue on an extra sheet if necessary.

Policy 12 (edge of centre A1 retail in Eastwood)

Proposed Map 35 highlights the suggested retraction of Eastwood district centre boundary to remove Morrison's superstore and surrounding land from the town centre and its allocation as an 'edge-of-centre' site suitable for Class A1 retail. Policy 12 indicates; "*Permission will be granted for limited alterations and extensions to the edge-of-centre A1 retail area currently is use as a Morrisons Supermarket, as defined on the Policies Map, provided it enhances links to the main District Centre of Eastwood, and does not result in any adverse impact on vitality and viability of the District Centre*".

BL object to this proposed wording on the basis that there is no need for this policy in addition to the provisions of Policy 13 which relates to edge/out-of-centre development. The fact that land closer to the centre than the Morrison's site would not benefit from this policy and that parts of the Morrison's are close to being defined as out of centre and across two main roads form a principal factor in support of the sites removal.

While the rationale for the removal of Morrison's from the town centre boundary is recognised, its allocation as an edge of centre site is irrational. It is entirely unclear what status this land would have compared to land directly abutting a town centre boundary. Furthermore, parts of the Morrison's site are arguably out of centre, given its distance from the boundary and the intervening roads.

Furthermore, map 35 and 36 show the Primary Frontage. No clear definition of primary frontage appears in the Part 2 Local Plan or the evidence base and it is our contention that the extent of primary frontage extends far beyond what could reasonably deserve designation.

Question 4: Modifications sought

Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. Continue on an extra sheet if necessary.

Remove Policy 12 (edge of centre A1 retail in Eastwood) and edge-of-centre allocation on Proposed Map 35 and reconsider the Primary Frontage on maps 35 and 36 based on a clear definition.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. **After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

Question 5: Public Examination Attendance

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the public examination?

Yes, I wish to participate at the public examination

X

No, I do not wish to participate at the public examination

If you wish to participate at the public examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

It is considered the retail and related matters in respect of Giltbrook Retail Park requires our attendance at the Part 2 Local Plan Examination in person.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the public examination.

Guidance Note:

Please complete a **separate form** for **each representation** you wish to make.

'Legally Compliant':

If your response relates to **the way in which the plan has been prepared**, then this is likely to relate to whether it or not it is '**Legally Compliant**'. To be 'Legally Compliant', the Local Plan has to be prepared in accordance within the 'Duty to Cooperate' and legal and procedural requirements. These are set out by legislation in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended). If you think that we have not met the legal requirement in the preparation of the Local Plan, please use the response form to tell us what we have not done or what we have done incorrectly.

'Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate':

If your response relates to **the way in which we have worked with other authorities** then this is likely to relate to the '**Duty to Co-operate**'.

The 'Duty to Co-operate' places a legal duty on Local Planning Authorities, County Councils and certain public bodies to engage constructively, actively, and on an on-going basis, to maximise the effectiveness of Local Plan preparation in the context of strategic cross-boundary matters. The 'Duty to Co-operate' is not a duty to agree. However, Local Planning Authorities should make every effort to secure the necessary co-operation on strategic cross-boundary matters before they submit their Local Plan for examination.

'Sound'

If your response is about the **content** of the Local Plan and the strategy it adopts, then it is likely to relate to whether or not the Local Plan is '**Sound**'.

To meet the 'Test of Soundness', the independent Planning Inspector is required to consider whether or not our Local Plan is '**justified**', '**effective**', has been '**positively prepared**', and is '**consistent with national policy**'. You may wish to consider the following before making a representation on the 'Soundness' of our Local Plan:

- '**Justified**': This means that the Local Plan is based upon a robust and credible evidence base. If you think that the evidence doesn't support the choice made in our Local Plan, or there are realistic alternatives, then your comments may relate to whether or not it is 'justified'.
- '**Effective**': This means that the Local Plan will deliver what it sets out to. If you think that what we are proposing in the Local Plan is not deliverable, then your comments may relate to whether or not our Local Plan is 'effective'.
- '**Positively Prepared**': This means the Local Plan should be prepared based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development.
- '**Consistent with National Policy**': Do you consider that our Local Plan accords with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and other policies, or includes clear and convincing reasons for doing something different?

For further guidance or assistance, please contact the **Planning Policy Team** on **0115 917 3452** or by emailing **policy@broxtowe.gov.uk**.