

Policy 18 - Shopfronts, Signage & Security measures:

ID	Organisation
Duty to Co-operate / Interest Groups	
142	Historic England
Individual / Local Resident	
460	Wallwork



Historic England

EAST MIDLANDS OFFICE

Mr Dave Lawson
Broxtowe Borough Council



Our ref: PL00035448
3 November 2017

Dear Mr Lawson

RE: BROXTOWE LOCAL PLAN PART 2 CONSULTATION

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the above Plan in its current form. Historic England would wish to submit the following comments:

Policy 3.1 - Chetwynd Barracks - Key Development Aspiration 2 in respect of non-designated heritage assets is welcomed and supported.

Policy 4.1 - Land West of Awsworth - It is noted that heritage assets are not mentioned in the policy or subsequent text when Grade II* Bennerley Viaduct forms a key feature in relation to this site. It is recommended that a suitable sentence referring to the conservation or enhancement of heritage assets and their setting is made in the Key Development Requirements or the Key Development Aspirations for the avoidance of doubt.

Policy 5.1 - East of Church Lane, Brinsley - It is recommended that 'conserve' be used in place of 'preserve' with regard to the setting of St James' Church in line with NPPF terminology. It is noted that the site area has been reduced from that of the earlier consultation on the site in order to mitigate impact on heritage assets.

Policy 6.1 - Walker Street, Eastwood - The inclusion of the need to conserve views of DH Lawrence related heritage is welcomed and supported.

Policy 18: Shopfronts, signage and security measures - This policy is welcomed and supported since it will assist with the Council's endeavours to support the vitality of historic shopping centres in the Borough and enhancement of public realm.

Policy 23: Proposals affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets - In part 3c we recommend the use of 'conserve' rather than 'preserve' in line with NPPF terminology. Policy 23 would address the requirements of NPPF Para.139 in its current form. With regard to the supporting Para 23.6 it is noted that the Plan states that 'heritage protection may be seen as a constraint to development'. We recommend that a balanced view is provided here in that heritage can also be seen as a positive element contributing to heritage led regeneration (*Historic England: Heritage Counts 2017*).




HistoricEngland.org.uk





Historic England

EAST MIDLANDS OFFICE

Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets - The provisions of the policy and its justification text are welcomed.

Policy 32: Developer Contributions - Financial contributions can be required in situations where mitigation measures are required in respect of heritage assets or their setting, and/or where NPPF Para 139 sites are revealed but the policy does not currently include provision for this. As such it is recommended that criteria 'h) the historic environment, heritage assets and/or their setting' or a similar alternative is included within the policy. To exclude heritage from the list would make it very difficult to negotiate any mitigation that may be required to address any harm arising when it is known and expressed in the Plan that some of the allocation sites are likely to impact on heritage assets and/or setting.

We hope that this information is of use to you at this time. Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

[Redacted signature block]



[Redacted line]

HistoricEngland.org.uk



Broxtowe Part 2 Local Plan



Agent

Please provide your client's name

Your Details

Title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mr	<input type="checkbox"/> Mrs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Miss	<input type="checkbox"/> Ms	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:
Name	MARION WALLWORK				
Organisation (if responding on behalf of the organisation)					
Address	[REDACTED]				
Postcode	[REDACTED]				
Tel. Number	[REDACTED]				
E-mail address	[REDACTED]				

Comments should be received by 5.00pm on Friday 3rd November 2017

If you wish to comment on several policies, paragraphs, or sites, please use a separate form for each representation.

If you would like to be contacted by the Planning Policy Team regarding future consultations.

Please tick here



Please help us save money and the environment by providing an e-mail address that correspondence can be sent to: _____

For more information including an **online response** form please visit:

www.broxtowe.gov.uk/part2localplan

Data Protection - The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and the Council will consider issues raised. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection. All representations can be viewed at the Council Offices.

Please return completed forms to:

Planning Policy, Legal and Planning Services, Foster Avenue, Beeston, Nottingham NG9 1AB

For more information: Tel: 0115 917 3452, 3448, 3468 or 3015 E-mail: policy@broxtowe.gov.uk

Question 1: What does your comment relate to? Please specify exactly

Document	Policy number	Page number	Policy text/ Paragraph number
Part 2 Local Plan	Policy 1: Flood Risk		
	Policy 2: Site Allocations		
	Policy 3: Main Built up Area Site Allocations		
	Policy 4: Awsworth Site Allocation		
	Policy 5: Brinsley Site Allocation		
	Policy 6: Eastwood Site Allocation		
	Policy 7: Kimberley Site Allocations		
	Policy 8: Development in the Green Belt		✓
	Policy 9: Retention of good quality existing employment sites		
	Policy 10: Town Centre and District Centre Uses		
	Policy 11: The Square, Beeston		
	Policy 12: Edge-of-Centre A1 Retail in Eastwood		
	Policy 13: Proposals for main town centre uses in edge-of-centre and out-of-centre locations		
	Policy 14: Centre of Neighbourhood Importance (Chilwell Road / High Road)		
	Policy 15: Housing size, mix and choice		✓
	Policy 16: Gypsies and Travellers		
	Policy 17: Place-making, design and amenity		
	Policy 18: Shopfronts, signage and security measures		✓
	Policy 19: Pollution, Hazardous Substances and Ground Conditions		✓
	Policy 20: Air Quality		
	Policy 21: Unstable land		
	Policy 22: Minerals		
	Policy 23: Proposals affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets		
	Policy 24: The health impacts of development		
	Policy 25: Culture, Tourism and Sport		
	Policy 26: Travel Plans		
	Policy 27: Local Green Space		✓
	Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets		
	Policy 29: Cemetery Extensions		
	Policy 30: Landscape		
	Policy 31: Biodiversity Assets		
	Policy 32: Developer Contributions		
Policies Map			
Sustainability Appraisal			
Other (e.g. omission, evidence document etc.)			

Question 2: What is the issue with the Local Plan?

Do you consider this paragraph or policy of the Local Plan to be: <i>(please refer to the guidance note at for an explanation of these terms)</i>		Yes	No
2.1	Legally compliant		
2.2	Compliant with the duty to co-operate		
2.3	Sound		

Question 3: Why is the Local Plan unsound? Please only answer this question if you answered 'No' to 2.3 above

If you think this paragraph or policy of the Plan is not sound, is this because:	
It is not justified	
It is not effective	
It is not positively prepared	
It is not consistent with national policy	

Your comments

Please give details of why you consider this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or does not comply with the duty to co-operate. Alternatively, if you wish to support any of these aspects please provide details. Please be as precise as possible. Continue on an extra sheet if necessary.

Question 4: Modifications sought

Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. Continue on an extra sheet if necessary.

Policy 18

I do not believe there should be any more building on Green Belt sites. New building should be on brown field sites.

Policy 18

There should be much stricter controls on shop fronts, fascias, and advertisements

Policy 27

All existing local green space should be protected. And new building developments should always include green space and tree planting

Policy 15

Housing development needs to include social housing

Policy 19

Fracking should not be allowed because of inherent dangers in ground stability and in water contamination.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. **After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

Question 5: Public Examination Attendance

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the public examination?

Yes, I wish to participate at the public examination

No, I do not wish to participate at the public examination

If you wish to participate at the public examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the public examination.

Guidance Note:

Please complete a **separate form** for **each representation** you wish to make.

'Legally Compliant':

If your response relates to **the way in which the plan has been prepared**, then this is likely to relate to whether it or not it is '**Legally Compliant**'. To be '**Legally Compliant**', the Local Plan has to be prepared in accordance within the 'Duty to Cooperate' and legal and procedural requirements. These are set out by legislation in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended). If you think that we have not met the legal requirement in the preparation of the Local Plan, please use the response form to tell us what we have not done or what we have done incorrectly.

'Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate':

If your response relates to **the way in which we have worked with other authorities** then this is likely to relate to the '**Duty to Co-operate**'.

The 'Duty to Co-operate' places a legal duty on Local Planning Authorities, County Councils and certain public bodies to engage constructively, actively, and on an on-going basis, to maximise the effectiveness of Local Plan preparation in the context of strategic cross-boundary matters. The 'Duty to Co-operate' is not a duty to agree. However, Local Planning Authorities should make every effort to secure the necessary co-operation on strategic cross-boundary matters before they submit their Local Plan for examination.

'Sound'

If your response is about the **content** of the Local Plan and the strategy it adopts, then it is likely to relate to whether or not the Local Plan is '**Sound**'.

To meet the 'Test of Soundness', the independent Planning Inspector is required to consider whether or not our Local Plan is '**justified**', '**effective**', has been '**positively prepared**', and is '**consistent with national policy**'. You may wish to consider the following before making a representation on the 'Soundness' of our Local Plan:

- '**Justified**': This means that the Local Plan is based upon a robust and credible evidence base. If you think that the evidence doesn't support the choice made in our Local Plan, or there are realistic alternatives, then your comments may relate to whether or not it is 'justified'.
- '**Effective**': This means that the Local Plan will deliver what it sets out to. If you think that what we are proposing in the Local Plan is not deliverable, then your comments may relate to whether or not our Local Plan is 'effective'.
- '**Positively Prepared**': This means the Local Plan should be prepared based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development.
- '**Consistent with National Policy**': Do you consider that our Local Plan accords with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and other policies, or includes clear and convincing reasons for doing something different?

For further guidance or assistance, please contact the **Planning Policy Team** on **0115 917 3452** or by emailing **policy@broxtowe.gov.uk**.