

CHETWYND

THE TOTON AND CHILWELL NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM

Matter 7: Toton Strategic Location for Growth

Question 1. No specific comment

Question 2. A major modification is needed. 500 homes located 'towards the South of the [site]' by 2023:

a) We do not believe these homes will be designed in a way to complement the Hub Station / Innovation Village. The risk is a standalone, traditional residential estate will be created that is totally at odds with the future developments that can be expected to take place around it. We believe development of the Strategic Location for Growth must ensure a seamless blend between residential, commercial and leisure areas

b) Furthermore, the intention for these homes to be 'located towards the South of the [site]' risks adversely affecting the 'significant [green] corridor' to be located north of the existing Toton settlement – as noted under the Green Infrastructure section.

This vital green corridor must be viable for wildlife (as well as incorporating pedestrian/cycle/motorised scooter lanes) and act as an effective link between Hobgoblin Wood to the east and Toton Fields LNR (and HS2 station) to the west.

Part of the Forum's vision is to see the Erewash Valley Trail re-routed through this corridor to better link up with the green corridors/spaces from the north of the Borough down to the Trent Valley

A minor modification is recommended:

a) Community Provision section: **remove the requirement for a new health centre** since there is medical centre provision included in Policy 3.1: Chetwynd Barracks

Question 3. The Forum is a member of the Strategic Planning Group which comprises stakeholders from local authorities in the region as well as government departments. The Group is chaired by the Service Director, Investment and Growth (Notts County Council) and feeds directly into the Toton Delivery Board which oversees the overall direction of development of the Growth Area, which includes Chetwynd Barracks.

Question 4. No specific comment

Question 5. No specific comment

Question 6. It is difficult to see 500 dwellings being delivered by 2023 **so this requirement should be removed.**