STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND BETWEEN: BROXTOWE BOROUGH COUNCIL, GUY TAYLOR ASSOCIATES & BARRATT DAVID WILSON HOMES



POLICY 5.1: EAST OF CHURCH LANE BRINSLEY

BROXTOWE BOROUGH COUNCIL PART 2 LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION

DATE: 14 NOVEMBER 2018

CONTENTS:

- 1. Executive Summary
- 2. Introduction
- 3. Allocation proposals
- 4. Key Development Requirements
- 5. Key Development Aspirations
- 6. Opun Design Review
- 7. Delivery
- 8. Matters agreed
- 9. Matters currently not agreed

Appendix 1: Chronology

SIGNATORIES

<
ons) BTP MRTPI
-

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

There are no significant areas of disagreement that that would affect the delivery of housing within the expected timescale.

There are a number of minor issues that need further discussion at the Examination Hearing Sessions, these are:

- . Key Development Requirement for traffic calming measures; and
- Key Development Requirement to make area to the south of the allocation publically accessible amenity space.

2. INTRODUCTION:

This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) is between Broxtowe Borough Council (BBC), Guy Taylor Associates, the Agent acting on behalf of the Landowner of the land east of Church Lane Brinsley (Policy 5.1) allocation (Respondent 717A) and Robert Galij, Barratt David Wilson Homes, the proposed developer (Respondent 717B).

This SoCG relates solely to the proposed allocation of land east of Church Lane Brinsley (Policy 5.1) in the Submission Version of the Part 2 Local Plan (CD/04).

3. ALLOCATION PROPOSALS:

Land east of Church Lane Brinsley is proposed for allocation through the Policy 5.1 of the Publication Version of the Part 2 Local Plan (CD/O1) (incorporating modifications as detailed in the Consultation Statement (CD/20) and set out in the Schedule of Main Modifications (BBC/O2) that will be subject to consideration by the Inspector) for the following:

4. Key Development Requirements:

New Homes;

110 Homes.

Connections and Highways:

- · Enhance bus routes adjacent to the site.
- Provide traffic calming measures to reinforce the existing 30mph speed limit on the A608.

Green Infrastructure:

- Enhance Green Infrastructure corridors by linking areas of Brinsley to north and west and D H Lawrence country to the east (including Vine Cottage and routes past the Headstocks to Eastwood).
- Provide SuDS and additional planting to the south of the residential allocation and make this area a publically accessible amenity space.
- Retain hedgerows and incorporate these into any landscaping scheme.

Heritage:

 Conserve the setting of St James the Great Church including open vistas towards the Headstocks.

5. Key Development Aspirations;

1. Mitigate highways impact on the wider road network to ensure that congestion is not made worse than currently exists.

All policies should be read in conjunction with the Local Plan Part 1 – Broxtowe Borough Aligned Core Strategy. No policy should be applied in isolation; account will be taken of all relevant policies.

6. Opun Design Review (OPUN/02):

The Key Issues (as set out in the Executive Summary) were:

- Providing an identifiable network of robust pedestrian and cycle routes utilising and integrating existing landscape features i.e. hedgerows.
- Positioning new access points in locations which minimise the impact on the existing landscape features and are designed to encourage reduced traffic speeds through the village
- Provision of a robust and generous green infrastructure specifically a transition zone beyond the buffer zone to Brinsley Brook / green corridor, utilising the existing hedgerows within any development etc.
- Retention and improved access to Brinsley recreation ground
- Recognition of the disused railway line as a non-designated industrial heritage asset.
- Provision of a robust visual and physical connectivity from the Church of St James the Great (including grounds) to the Headstocks and disused railway line.

7. DELIVERY

Generic delivery assumptions from the SHLAA which apply to all large sites (and have been subjected to consultation with the development industry) have been refined for this particular site as follows:

- 1 developer building on-site.
- Anticipated build-out of 110 dwellings over three years.
- Delivery of Affordable Housing in addition to market housing. Based on past average this would increase the build-out rate by 10 dwellings a year.
- Delivery would commence 6 months post grant of Full Planning Permission and site acquisition allowing for discharge of Conditions and Obligations and preliminary site works including new access.

SITE LOCATION AND SIZE:

The site is a 4.2 hectare greenfield site located adjacent to the Key Settlement of Brinsley. The Key Settlement of Brinsley is one of the search areas considered appropriate for new development (in accordance with the Settlement Hierarchy as set out in Policy 2: The Spatial Strategy of the ACS) with an 'up to' distribution figure of 150 new dwellings.

CURRENT USE:	of the Control of the					
Agricultural land.						
OWNERSHIP:						
Site is within single own						
	HWAYS IMPROVEMENTS:					
	pected via an upgrade of the existing nor					
	ned ownership of the respective area. To					
	existing speed limit on the A608. No Ob	jection in principle from				
Nottinghamshire CC, H PLANNING STATUS:	ghways.					
No planning application	as books at					
REQUIRED INFRASTRU						
Infrastructure		Further Work				
Contamination:	Summary Assessment	Furiner work				
Contamination:	Greenfield Site.					
	The Environment Agency reports					
	that this site is underlain by a					
	Secondary Aquifer A and that it is					
	Important that the water quality					
	within the aquifer is protected and					
	kept pollution free. [Environment Agency comments within pre-March					
	2017 stakeholder meeting					
	representations) The Environment					
	Agency reports that it has no [other]					
	concerns.					
	[Environment Agency comments					
	within representations to the					
	'Brinsley Option 2 Site Consultation',					
	2017]					
Emergency Services:	No requirements identified.	Liaison with Nottingham				
		North & East Clinical				
		Commissioning Group				
-1 / 51 /		necessary.				
Flood Risk:	The eastern boundary of the site is	The Lead Local Flood				
	formed by the Brinsley Brook which	Authority will need to be				
	is within the remit of the Lead Local	contacted in respect of any				
	Flood Authority, which notes:	requirements that they may				
	'Brinsley Brook along eastern	have in relation to a				
	boundary needs to be considered	planning application.				
	but overall, no Issues'.	Lister Market				
	[Nottinghamshire County Council					
	comments within pre-March 2017					
	stakeholder meeting					
	representations]					
	Severn Trent response in relation to					
	sewerage and surface water					
	flooding: Foul flows from the					
W	development will join a 225 mm	1				

1	diameter combined sewer running	1
1	adjacent to the development site.	
	Surface water from the	
	development will be able to drain	
	to Brinsley Brook, Flooding is not	
	predicted in low return periods	000
	locally and there are no reported	
	flooding incidents near the	
	development.	
Transport:	Public transport funding	Transport assessment and
	contributions for infrastructure	travel plan required.
	and local bus service provision will	Highway mitigation and
	be sought. Contributions to bus	potential contributions to
	stop infrastructure will be	public transport to be
	required.	agreed as part of \$106
		discussions.
	Nottinghamshire County Council	
	notes that the development should	Highways Agreements (S38
	be designed in accordance with the	and \$278) to be
	6C's Design Guide.	progressed.
· 1	[Nottinghamshire County Council	
	comments within pre-March 2017	1
	stakeholder meeting	
	representations)	
Air Quality:	No issues have been identified.	Mitigation (dependent
		upon detail of
	The site is not within an Air Quality	development).
	Management Area (AQMA).	
Community Facilities:	Within representations to the 2017	This would need to be
	Publication Consultation, the	subject to further
	County Council stated that it will no	consideration at the time of
2	longer be seeking contributions to	any planning application
and the state of t	library facilities as this cannot be	submission.
	presently justified with reference to	
Statistics (ACT DECEMBER SCHOOL PARKETMAN FIN	library capacity and stock levels.	
Education:	The LA notes that Brinsley is at	Further discussion
	capacity and therefore there will be	required with LA &
	requirements for land and	Ashfield District as part of
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	contributions to extend educational	the planning application
	provision in the area. There are	process. This will require
	cross-border issues with Ashfield	both authorities to work
	District and so joint planning is	together to plan
	required with all neighbouring	appropriate solutions.
ľ	districts. [Nottinghamshire County	appropriate solutions.
	Council comments within March	
	Council comments within March 2017 stakeholder meeting)	

	BBC Note: The limited number of dwellings proposed does not give rise to a realistic prospect of a new school. Contributions will still be required to address capacity issues at existing schools. This was discussed at a meeting between Broxtowe Borough Council and Nottinghamshire County Council on 24th July 2018 which was arranged to discuss the provision of infrastructure to support the Part 2 Local Plan.	
Green	Section 106 contributions would be	Further dialogue with BBC
Infrastructure Open	sought to enhance the sports and	Parks & Environment
Space:	play / recreational facilities at the	required.
	nearby Brinsley Recreation Ground. [BBC Parks and Environment advice	
	note, May 2017}.	
Health:	Based on the multiplier of £551 per	Further discussion with the
	dwelling cost, the estimate for	Nottingham North & East
	contributions is £60,610.	Clinical Commissioning
	[Nottingham North & East Clinical	Group as part of the
	Commissioning Group figures, April 2017).	planning application
	2017].	process.
	Nottingham West Clinical	
	Commissioning Group response to	
	2017 Publication Consultation in	
	relation to the sites proposed in	
	Awsworth, Eastwood and Brinsley:	
	Land for Medical Centre required. In order that the plan for Eastwood is	
	effective and therefore sound, part	
	of the Walker Street site must be	
	allocated for a new, purpose built	
	health facility to sit behind the	
	existing library with direct access to	
	the main road with its public	
	transport links ensuring it is easily accessible to the community. A one	
,	acre site is required (GIA 2000m2 of	
	two or three storeys dependent upon	
	meeting planning requirements).	
	Direct vehicular access would be	
	required to Walker Street if the site is	
	also identified as the preferred site	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	for a co-located blue light service	
	base. Funding contributions should	
	be sought for this development	
	through Section 106 contributions.	
Utilities:	Severn Trent Water reports that a foul sewer passes through a small part of the site to the north-east, but that this is unlikely to be affected by development proposals. Electricity – No abnormal requirements.	Further discussion with Utilities providers required as part of planning application. Liaison with Severn Trent in relation to the foul sewer.
	Gas – no abnormal requirements. Waste water – Please refer to 'Flood Risk' section. Water supply – no abnormal requirements anticipated. IT – No abnormal requirements.	
Waste, Recycling & Energy Management:	Recycling capacity at the Giltbrook Recycling Centre is generally sufficient. There is a severe shortage of space for additional dry recycling through the existing Waste Transfer Station (WTS) in Giltbrook. Any additional inputs / outputs to / from this WTS site will be constrained. Expansion would be difficult to achieve and so Nottinghamshire County Council would potentially be looking at developing a new WTS. Developer contributions to support that would be essential. [Nottinghamshire County Council representations prior to March 2017 stakeholder meeting]	Liaison with BBC Waste & Recycling will be required.
VIABILITY:	Each dwelling will require space for 4 bins to be located at the property. [Nottinghamshire County Council comments during March 2017 stakeholder meeting]	

VIABILITY:

Evidence to inform the ACS (Three Dragons, Golland and GL Hearn) concluded that on smaller sites (tested at 1ha) market selling prices will broadly 'pick up' the values from surrounding or very local settlements.

No viability issues raised by the site proponents. Latter have confirmed that the 30% affordable housing requirement will be fulfilled.

DELIVERY ASSUMPTIONS:

Representations received to the Publication Version Part 2 Local Plan consultation by Guy Taylor Associates on behalf of the landowners state that the site is available now and should be defined as deliverable within 5 years.

ASSISTING DELIVERY OF THE SITE:

Proposed site developer: Barratt David Wilson Homes has secured a legal interest and confirms development on site will progress during Financial Year 2020/2021. A three year programme of build and sales is anticipated.

HOUSING TRAJECTORY:

12- 13									
				45	45	20			

STEPS TO ACCELERATE DELIVERY FURTHER:

Remove site from the Green Belt.

8. MATTERS NOT IN DISPUTE

All parties have agreed that the Key Development Requirements and Aspirations set out in Policy 5.1 in the Publication Version of the Part 2 Local Plan (CD/01) are appropriate and achievable.

9. MATTERS CURRENTLY IN DISPUTE

The site proponents question certain of the Key Development Requirements and Aspirations and the Housing Trajectory in the Submission Version of the Part 2 Local Plan (CD/04) now reflected in Proposed Main Modifications and Additional Modifications to the Publication Version, September 2018 (BBC/02). Under MM15, matters surrounding:

Matter 1: Connections and Highways

Broxtowe's Position:

"provide traffic calming measures to reinforce the existing 30mph speed limit on the A608"

Guy Taylor Associates & Barratt David Wilson Homes Position: Not agreed.

Matter 2: Green Infrastructure

Broxtowe's Position:

"Provide SuDS and additional planting to the south of the residential allocation and make this area a publically accessible amenity space".

Guy Taylor Associates & Barratt David Wilson Homes Position:

Disagree that the area provided offsite for SUDS is publically accessible amenity space.

APPENDIX 1: CHRONOLOGY

- November 2013: Site included as part of a larger site that 'could be suitable if policy changes' in the Site Allocations: Issues and Options consultation.
- February 2015: Site was included as a 'preferred option' in the Preferred Approach
 to Site Allocations: Green Belt Review consultation albeit that the site under
 consideration to be removed from the Green Belt at the time was larger (28.25
 hectares) than the site now currently allocated for development. Historic England
 raised concern regarding the scale and location of development.
- March 2015: Brinsley Parish was designated as a Neighbourhood Area for the purposes of Neighbourhood Plan production.
- October 2016: Brinsley OPUN design Review.
- October 2016: Broxtowe held a site specific workshop with key stakeholders, which
 was informed by the findings of the Opun Design panel, to discuss how development
 of the site may progress.
- February 2017: Brinsley Alternative Site consultation (to consider alternative Cordy Lane site).
- September 2017: Publication Version of the Part 2 Local Plan published for 6 week public consultation, this site is included as a site allocation.
- August 2018: Plan Submitted to Secretary of State for Examination.