on: with new dates.
on: ohical Correction
with new page numbers yes of 'View across Strelley Church' and 'Entrance to be Hills Park' have been
on: o provide greater clarity.

AM6	Built and Natural Environment Issues 0.7 At Attenborough alongside the River Trent, former wet gravel workings now provide an extensive nature reserve, which is also a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). There are also extensive areas of open space at Bramcote Hills Park in Broxtowe, and the University of Nottingham campus and Wollaton Road, both within the City of Nottingham but within walking distance of many of the most populated areas in the south of the Borough.	Correction: Typographical Correction
AM5	Map 1: Main Built up Area of Nottingham and Key Settlements Map 1: Main Built up Area of Nottingham and kKey Settlements (including allocations made in this plan)	Correction: Typographical correction of title. Update to clarify the extent of the Main Urban Area of Nottingham in response to representations by Bramcote Neighbourhood Forum.
	0.4 The recently opened tram route supplements existing public transport accessibility of the Borough has been further improved with the construction of the Nottingham Express Transit (NET 2) tram route which serves many of the most densely populated areas in the south of the Borough and includes a park and ride site near the A52 at Toton.	
	to the motorway network and good access to East Midlands Airport via junction 24 of the M1, together with excellent rail connections at Beeston and Attenborough stations and the close by stations of Nottingham, East Midlands Parkway and recently opened Ilkeston Railway Station. The M1 bisects the Borough, with junction 26 within the Borough at Nuthall, while junction 25 is just outside the Borough with links to this Broxtowe and the City Centre via the A52.	

	Access to formal open space is more limited in the north of the Borough, although there are important areas for recreation in the central parts of the Borough around the former Nottingham Canal at Cossall, Strelley, at Colliers Wood, Moorgreen reservoir and extensive countryside to the north. 0.8 Historically and culturally there are strong links to the world famous writer DH Lawrence with a museum in Eastwood (his birthplace) with much of his writing influenced by the coal mining heritage and landscape in the north of the Borough which he referred to as 'the country of my heart'. The majority of Broxtowe is within the former Nottinghamshire coalfield, which influences the setting of a number of local landscape character areas in the central and northern parts of the Borough and with has easy access to the Derbyshire countryside and the Erewash valley.	
AM7	Economic Issues 0.11 Beeston is the main largest town centre in the Borough and is a major location for new investment and employment opportunities. Broxtowe has major ambitions to secure the redevelopment of Beeston Square, following the recent completion of the tram and bus terminus which is expected to bring significant additional inward investment. Other town district centres at Eastwood, Kimberley and Stapleford are smaller in scale but still perform an important role in underpinning the local economy.	Correction: To add clarity.
AM8	0.16 At the 2011 census 7.2% of the Borough's population was of ethnic origin with the largest BME groups being Indian and Chinese. The strong influences of the University of Nottingham, Nottingham Trent University and Castle College are attracting a student population to	Correction: Update to reflect the most recent position of the College.

AM9	to the Borough, in p	t student population particular high national students, as of Nottingham and on College in strong links with ast Asia. ole Community gy (SCS)	Correction: Typographical Correction Correction: Update to reflect amendment made
	Aligned Core Strategy Spatial Objective	How the Part 2 Local Plan addresses the Spatial Objective	through Main Modifications . Also other corrections though the table.
	i) Environmentally responsible development addressing climate change: to reduce the causes of climate change and to minimise its impacts through locating development where it can be highly accessible by sustainable transport, requiring environmentally sensitive design and construction,	The strategy focuses development firstly, within and adjacent to the main built up area of Nottingham; then in the key settlements of Awsworth, Brinsley, Eastwood and Kimberley. The site selection process has been subject to a sustainability appraisal which has judged sites against environmental,	
	reducing the risk of flooding, and promoting the use of low carbon technologies.	economic and social objectives including, amongst others, impact on energy and climate	

change, environment, biodiversity and green infrastructure, transport, natural resources and flooding. Specific policies address flood risk (Policy 1), pollution hazardous substances and ground conditions and land contamination (Policy 19) and mineral safeguarding (Policy 22).

ii) High quality new housing: to manage an increase in the supply of housing to ensure local and regional housing needs are met, brownfield opportunities are maximised, regeneration aims are delivered, and to provide access to affordable and decent new homes. In doing so, there will be a

Sufficient sites are allocated in the Part 2 Local Plan to meet the objectively assessed housing needs of the Borough to 2028. Work published by **Nottingham City** Council (Comparison of the Household **Projections** Underlying the Greater Nottingham Core Strategies and the CLG 2012-Based Household

rebalancing of the housing mix where required in terms of size, type and tenure, to maximise choice including family housing, supporting people into home ownership, providing for particular groups such as older people, and creating and supporting mixed and balanced communities.

Projections, January 2016), which was produced in coordination with the other Greater **Nottingham District** Councils, confirms that the Objectively Assessed hHousing nNeed for the Housing Market Area remains the same as that assessed as part of the Aligned Core Strategy. The strategy has sought to maximise brownfield sites and over 748% of the housing provision is met within or adjoining the Main Built up Area of Nottingham. Policy 15 is intended to secure an appropriate mix of housing taking into account housing need and demographic mix in the local area.

Land in the vicinity of HS2 at Toton is identified as a strategic location for a minimum of 500 homes adjacent to the Main Built up Area of Nottingham with further work to be undertaken as details of HS2 requirements emerge. Chetwynd Barracks is a large site within the Main Built up Area of Nottingham which is no longer required for national defence purposes and is expected to deliver 500 homes within the plan period and substantially more than this beyond the plan period. The Main Built up Area of Nottingham will be expanded with allocations at Bramcote and Stapleford which

The Part 2 Local Plan allocates sites in and on the edge of the main built up area of Nottingham and in and around the sustainable key settlements of Awsworth, Brinsley, Eastwood and Kimberley (Policies 2-7).

in combination will provide 540 740 new homes. There is an additional allocation for 150 100 homes within the Main Built up Area of Nottingham at a former Severn Trent sewage plant in Beeston. In addition to the above a number of smaller sites within the Main Built up Area of Nottingham have been allocated to provide a range and choice of development sites. In other parts of the plan area, the key settlements of Awsworth, Brinsley, Eastwood and Kimberley will be developed to make the best of their accessibility to services and infrastructure capacity.

iii) Economic The Part 2 Local prosperity for all: Plan will directly

to ensure economic growth is as equitable as possible, and that a more knowledge based economy is supported enhancing the Core City role of the Nottingham conurbation by providing for new office, commercial, residential and other uses within the Sustainable Location for Growth at Toton. Creating the conditions for all people to participate in the economy, by providing new and protecting existing local employment opportunities, encouraging rural enterprise, improving access to training opportunities, and supporting educational developments at all levels.

supports economic development by providing for new homes which will help support the future labour force needed to sustain the local economy. New office, leisure, education, commercial, industrial and residential space will be provided within the Sustainable Location for Growth at Toton. Existing high quality employment areas are protected.

iv) Flourishing and vibrant town centres: to create the conditions for the protection and enhancement of a balanced hierarchy and network of City, town and other centres, through economic growth and retail development. The provision of innovative and efficient shopping, leisure, tourism and local services of a scale appropriate to the centre's position in the hierarchy will be promoted in addition to social, cultural and other appropriate uses, accessibility improvements, environmental improvements, and town centre regeneration measures. To facilitate improvements to the vitality and viability of the

Beeston town

Retail and town centres uses will be directed to the identified town and district centres. Policies will seek to ensure a mix of town centre uses while protecting the core retail function and primary shopping area.

A mixed-use allocation is made for the redevelopment of the Square in Beeston in order to enhance the public realm and the night-time economy (Policy 11).

centre.		
v) Regeneration: to ensure brownfield regeneration opportunities are maximised, at the former Severn Trent land in Beeston. To ensure that regeneration supports and enhances opportunities for local communities and residents, leading to all neighbourhoods being neighbourhoods of choice, where people want to live.	A major priority is to redevelop the former Severn Trent site in conjunction with the adjacent Boots campus site for residential development. Growth is also provided for at Eastwood and Stapleford, which are in need of regeneration.	

vi) Protecting and enhancing the area's individual and historic character and local distinctiveness: to harmful preserve and enhance the distinctive natural and built heritage, by protecting and enhancing the historic environment, by promoting high quality locally distinct design, and by valuing the countryside for its productive qualities and ensuring its landscape character is maintained and enhanced. Strategic historic assets will be protected including Bennerley Viaduct which will be opened up as part of a long distance cycle and walking route.

The site selection process has taken into account impact on heritage and landscape and sought to avoid harmful development in these locations. Policy 17 seeks to ensure that development respects local character.

vii) Strong, safe and cohesive communities: to create the conditions for communities to become strong, safe and cohesive by providing appropriate facilities, encouraging people to express their views, by designing out crime and by respecting and enhancing local distinctiveness.

The Part 2 Local Plan has been subject to extensive public consultation and people have had a number of opportunities to have their say. These views have been considered as part of the plan's preparation. Provision is made for community facilities to be located in accessible locations and where they are relocated it is to a place that is convenient. Policy 17 requires new development to provide safe and attractive routes and to respect

viii) Health and well-being: to create the conditions for a healthier population by addressing environmental factors underpinning health and well-

Policy 40 12
requires new
development to be
designed to
encourage walking
and cycling
through the
provision of safe
and attractive
routes.
Following

local character.

being, and working with healthcare partners to deliver new and improved health and social care facilities especially where required by new development and through the integration of health and service provision, and by improving access to cultural, sport and leisure and lifelong learning activities.

consultation and advice from various providers contributions will be sought for health, social care and education from development where necessary. Access to services and facilities including culture, sport and leisure will be is facilitated through the site allocations process which allocates sites in locations that are accessible to services.

ix) Opportunities for all: to give all children and young people the best possible start in life by providing the highest quality inclusive educational, community leisure and sport facilities, for instance through improving existing or providing new schools or academies (e.g.

Contributions will be sought from developers towards the need for educational and health provision as well as open space and other facilities arising from the new development (Policy 32). Policies require new housing to be adaptable to peoples' changing needs over time. Policies also guide the development

at Bramcote, Toton and Walker Street Eastwood), further education establishments and Universities, and to meet the needs of older and disabled people, especially through providing appropriate housing opportunities. x) Excellent transport systems

of more specialist type of housing for the elderly or for people with special needs (Policy 15).

and reducing the need to travel: to ensure access to jobs, leisure and services is improved in a sustainable way, reducing the need to travel especially by private car, by encouraging convenient and reliable transport systems, through implementing behavioural change measures.

The approach taken to site selection ensures that new housing development is focussed in locations accessible to jobs, services and facilities. New employment development is provided in accessible locations. Regard will be given to opportunities for longer term transport aspirations including a NET extension to the proposed HS2

		Station at Toton
		and beyond.
	ki) Protecting and	Biodiversity and
	·	Green
	mproving natural	
	assets: to	Infrastructure is
	mprove and	protected and
11.	orovide new	enhanced with
	Green	new development
1.1	nfrastructure,	expected to
	ncluding open	contribute to
s	spaces, by	Green
e	enhancing and	Infrastructure
c	developing the	networks and open
r	network of multi-	space provision
f	unctional green	(Policies 27 -31 32).
s	spaces, by	
iı	mproving access	
a	and	
6	environmental	
c	quality, and by	
6	ensuring an	
ii	ncrease in	
b	oiodiversity.	
	ii) Time als cond	The Dort O Lead
	kii) Timely and	The Part 2 Local
	/iable	Plan is
	nfrastructure: to	underpinned by
	make the best	the Infrastructure
	use of existing,	Delivery Plan
	and provide new	(IDP) which
	and improved	identifies the
11.	ohysical and	necessary
s	social	infrastructure
ii	nfrastructure,	requirements to
v	where required to	support the level of
s	support housing	development
l a	and economic	planned for in the
g	growth, and	Borough to 2028
le	ensuring it is	and sets out how it
s	sustainable.	can be delivered.

	T	,	
		The IDP has taken into account the strategic plans and aspirations of various service providers within or affecting the area and where relevant these have been incorporated into the IDP. Broxtowe Borough Council will continue to require developer contributions through S106 agreements in order to deliver the necessary infrastructure.	
AM11	Local Plan Policie	<u> </u>	Correction:
		this plan replace the m the 2004 Local ne Aligned Core	Typographical Correction
AM12	Table 2: Strategic		Correction:
	Aligned Core Strategy Policy	Part 2 Local Plan Policy	Typographical Correction
	Policy 1: Climate Control Change	Policy 1: Flood Risk	
	Policy 2: The	Policy 2: Site Allocations	
	Spatial Strategy	Policy 3: Main	
		Built up Area Site	
		Allocations Policy 4:	
		Awsworth Site	
		Allocations Policy 5: Brinsley	
		Site Allocations Policy 6:	

	Eastwood Site
	Allocation
	Policy 7:
	Kimberley Site
	Allocations
Policy 3: The	Policy 8:
Green Belt	Development in
	the Green Belt
Policy 4:	Policy 9: Retention
Employment	of good quality
Provision and	existing
Economic	employment sites
Development	. ,
Policy 5:	No policies in this
Nottingham	plan
City Centre	'
Policy 6: Role	Policy 10: Town
of Town	Centres and
Centres and	District Centre
Local Centres	Uses
	Policy 11: The
	Square, Beeston
	Policy 12: Edge-of-
	Centre A1 Retail in
	Eastwood
	Policy 13:
	Proposals for main
	town centre uses
	in edge-of-centre
	and out-of-centre
	locations
	Policy 14: Centre
	of Neighbourhood
	Importance
	(Chilwell Road /
	High Road).
Policy 7:	Covered by other
Regeneration	policies
Policy 8:	Policy 15: Housing
Housing size,	Size, mMix and
Mix and Choice	Choice
Policy 9:	Policy 16:
Gypsies,	Gypsies and
Travellers and	Travellers
Travelling	
Showpeople	
Silowpeopie	

AM13	Policy 10: Design and Enhancing Local Identity	Policy 17: Place-making, dDesign and aAmenity Policy 18: Shopfronts, signage and security measures Policy 19: Pollution, Hazardous Substances and Ground Conditions Policy 20: Air Quality Policy 21: Unstable Land Policy 22: Minerals	Correction: Update to reflect amendment made through Main Modifications.
	Policy 11: The Historic Environment	Policy 23: Proposals affecting dDesignated and nNon- dDesignated hHeritage aAssets	
	Policy 12: Local Services and Healthy Lifestyles Policy 13: Culture, Tourism and	Policy 24: The health and wellbeing ilmpacts of dDevelopment Policy 25: Culture, Tourism and Sport	
	Sport Policy 14: Managing Travel Demand Policy 15:	Policy 26: Travel Plans Covered by other	
	Transport Infrastructure Priorities Policy 16:	policies Policy 27: Local	
	Green Infrastructure, Parks and Open Space	Green Space Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets	

	Policy 29:
	Cemetery
	Extensions
	Policy 30:
	Landscape
Policy 17:	Policy 31:
Biodiversity	Biodiversity
	Assets
Policy 18:	Covered by other
Infrastructure	policies
Policy 19:	Policy 32:
Developer	Developer
Contributions	Contributions

AM14

What the Sustainability Appraisal says

1.5 The policy has minor positive effects on the housing and transport objectives, through a possible increase in homes, if developers were otherwise unwilling to build outside the flood risk zone if refused permission in the flood risk area; and guides development to urban locations with the existing best transport infrastructure a significantly positive effect upon the natural resources and flooding objective, as well as minor positive effects on the housing, transport and economic structure objectives, as the development of sites in locations protected by flood defences may now be acceptable.

Amendment:

Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.

AM15

Policy 2: Site Allocations

- **2.1** The following section allocates
 Policies 3 7 allocate sites across the borough for development. Only sites that can accommodate 10 or more dwellings are included.
- 2.2 Development is also expected to come forward on other smaller sites, and applications for planning permission will be determined in line with the first part of the Part 1 Local Plan the Aligned Core Strategy and the policies set out in this document.
- 2.4 Standard requirements or matters which are normally considered as a matter of course during the development management process may not be included within the Key Development Requirements but this does not infer imply that these are not important.
- 2.5 In considering development on any of the site allocations the development will be considered in line with all relevant parts of the NPPF, the Aligned Core Strategy and this Part 2 Local Plan. This includes:
 - Flood risk and Sustainable Drainage (Policy 1 of the ACS and Policy 1 of this Local Plan)
 - Safe highway access to and through the site catering for different modes of transport (Policy 14 of the ACS and Policy 26 17 of this Local Plan)
 - Housing mix, affordable housing and custom and self-build plots (Policy 8 of the ACS and Policy 15 of this Local Plan)
 - Design Quality (Policy 10 of the ACS and Policy 17 & 18 of this Local Plan)
 - Pollution control, ground conditions, air quality and minerals (Policies 19, 20, 21 and 22 of this Local Plan)

Correction:

To add clarity as windfall / other nonpermissioned sites will not necessarily be on 'smaller sites'.

Correction:

Typographical Correction

Correction:

Typographical Correction

Formatting:

Paragraphs re-numbered due to earlier formatting changes.

Correction:

Grammar.

Amendment:

Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.

- The Historic Environment (Policy 11 of the ACS and Policy 23 of this Local Plan)
- The Health and wellbeing impacts of development (Policy 12 of the ACS and Policy 24 of this Local Plan)
- Green Infrastructure including sports provision (Policies 13 and 16 of the ACS and Policies 27, 28, 29 and 30 of this Local Plan)
- Biodiversity (Policy 17 of the ACS and Policy 31 of this Local Plan):and

Infrastructure provision (Policies 18 and 19 of the ACS and Policy 32 of this Local Plan)

Justification

2.8 2.9 The need for new homes remains as specified in the Core Strategy, the Council has maximised to the greatest possible extent the supply of sites in existing urban areas with an emphasis on the most sustainable and deliverable locations in the urban south of Broxtowe. When sites currently previously in the Green Belt are selected :: exceptional circumstances are demonstrated, there is has been a comprehensive programme of public participation, rigorous sustainability appraisal, detailed assessment of delivery and significant efforts including thorough design review to achieve the best outcomes for the whole Borough and especially the individual communities affected.

What the Sustainability Appraisal says

2.9 An observation of the summary of the SA of site allocations shows a distinct increase of intensity in the 'red' spectrum of adverse effects descending from the proposed sites to the rejected sites, in order of development size; and a complementary decrease in the intensity of the 'green' spectrum of positive effects.

- 2.10 In confirmation of the Broxtowe Core Strategy SA finding, tThe urban and main built up area sites area assessed as being the most sustainable with no significant (mid to high range) adverse effects. These sites can therefore be recommended as sustainable development relative to their alternatives.
- 2.11 Based on the aligned Core Strategy SA, an assumption was made that urban sites, in the main built up area, are sustainable development but proposed sites are assessed, for completeness and to confirm that they are reasonable options against others in the area.
- **2.12** The Council has undertaken workshops on drafting masterplans for the proposed sites in order to include mitigation assessments in advance of designation.

AM16

Policy 3: Main Built up Area Site Allocations

The following sites are allocated for residential development, as shown on the Policies Map:

- Policy: 3.1 Chetwynd Barracks: 500 hHomes (within the plan period)
- Policy: 3.2 Toton (Strategic Location for Growth): 500 - 800 Homes
- Policy: 3.3 Bramcote (East of Coventry Lane): 300 500 Homes
- Policy: 3.4 Stapleford (West of Coventry Lane): 240 Homes
- Policy: 3.5 Severn Trent (Lilac Grove): 450 100 Homes
- Policy: 3.6 Beeston Maltings: 56 Homes
- Policy: 3.7 Beeston Cement Depot: 21 40 Homes
- Policy: 3.8 Wollaton Road Beeston: 12 Homes

What the Sustainability Appraisal says

3.3 In confirmation of the Broxtowe
Core Strategy SA finding, the urban and main built up area sites area assessed as being the most sustainable with no significant (mid to high range) adverse effects. These sites can therefore be recommended as sustainable development relative to their alternatives.

Correction:

Update to reflect amendment made through the **Main Modifications**.

Amendment:

Summary removed as site allocations all have specific Sustainability Appraisal summaries.

AM17	Map 2: Parishes within or adjoining the Main Built up Area of Nottingham	Amendment: Title and Map removed
AM18	Map 3-2: Housing and Mixed Use allocations and commitments in Beeston and Chilwell	Amendment: Updated map. 'Mixed Use Commitments' has been removed from the Key and Map.
AM19	Map 4-3 : Housing and Mixed Use allocations and commitments in Bramcote and Stapleford	Amendment: Updated map and title.
AM20	Map 5-4: Housing and Mixed Use allocations and commitments in Chilwell, Toton and Stapleford	Amendment: Updated title. 'Housing Commitments' removed from Key and Map.
AM21	Map 6-5: Housing and Mixed Use allocations and commitments in Nuthall	Amendment: Updated title.
AM22	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 3.6 3.19 This allocation has significant housing, health and transport objectives benefits, and positive effects across most objectives, with no significant negative effects.	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
AM23	Policy 3.2: Land in the vicinity of the HS2 Station at Toton (Strategic Location for Growth)	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.

	What the Sustainability Appraisal	
	says	
	3b.16 3.37 The selected 'Toton'	
	(Strategic Location for Growth)-is	
	confirmed, as the Broxtowe Core	
	Strategy stated previously, as highly	
	sustainable, in part as a result of its	
	large size (which is consistent with the	
	Greater Nottingham Aligned Core	
	Strategy). It strategically meets the	
	housing and health objectives of the	
	Borough, and, has major positive	
	economic, innovation and transport	
	effects, the latter being of national	
	significance. However, minor The	
	nNegative effects mainly were identified	
	that arise also from the scale of the	
	proposed development which will	
	strategically change the green outlook	
	and landscape , but this . These effects	
	may be mitigated by high quality design	
	incorporating provision of strategic	
	green infrastructure to make the land	
	newly accessible, with exemplary	
	design of development and enhanced	
	connectivity to the surrounding sites.	
AM24	Policy + 3.3: Bramcote (E east of	Amendment:
' '	Coventry Lane)	Summary updated/re-worded to add
		clarity and be more user-friendly.
1		
	What the Sustainability Appraisal	clarity and se more deer menaly.
	What the Sustainability Appraisal savs	cianty and so more acci menaly.
	says	olamy and be more deel mendly.
	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant	olamy and so more deel mendly.
	says	cianty and so more deer mendiy.
	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits	oranty and so more deer mendiy.
	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS	oranty and so more door mondry.
	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the	cianty and so more deer mendy.
	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of	cianty and so more deer mendiy.
	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of interest of this designation only covers a	cianty and so more deer mendiy.
	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of interest of this designation only covers a very small area. a very minor green	cianty and so more door monary.
	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of interest of this designation only covers a very small area. a very minor green objective disbenefit because of	
AM25	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of interest of this designation only covers a very small area. a very minor green objective disbenefit because of inevitable greenspace loss to built	Amendment:
AM25	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of interest of this designation only covers a very small area. a very minor green objective disbenefit because of inevitable greenspace loss to built development.	
AM25	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of interest of this designation only covers a very small area. a very minor green objective disbenefit because of inevitable greenspace loss to built development. Policy: 3.4: Stapleford (west of	Amendment:
AM25	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of interest of this designation only covers a very small area. a very minor green objective disbenefit because of inevitable greenspace loss to built development. Policy: 3.4: Stapleford (west of	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add
AM25	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of interest of this designation only covers a very small area. a very minor green objective disbenefit because of inevitable greenspace loss to built development. Policy: 3.4: Stapleford (west of Coventry Lane)	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add
AM25	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of interest of this designation only covers a very small area. a very minor green objective disbenefit because of inevitable greenspace loss to built development. Policy: 3.4: Stapleford (west of Coventry Lane) What the Sustainability Appraisal	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add
AM25	says 3.9 3.46 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only one negative effect due to the Bramcote Moor Grassland LWS designation, albeit that the area of interest of this designation only covers a very small area. a very minor green objective disbenefit because of inevitable greenspace loss to built development. Policy: 3.4: Stapleford (west of Coventry Lane) What the Sustainability Appraisal says	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add

	with only one negative effect on the	
	biodiversity and green infrastructure	
	objective. a very minor green objective	
	disbenefit.	
AM26	Policy: 3.5: Severn Trent, Beeston	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add
	What the Sustainability Appraisal	clarity and be more user-friendly.
	says	
	3.15 3.54 This allocation has significant	
	housing, health, transport and	
	innovation (due to its proximity to the	
	Enterprise Zone) objectives benefits	
	positive effects; and only one minor	
	negative effect on the biodiversity and	
	green infrastructure objective due to the	
	adjoining Beeston Canal Local Wildlife	
	Site.	
AM27	Policy: 3.6: Beeston Maltings	Amendment:
	Mile at the Occatain ability Assessinal	Summary updated/re-worded to add
	What the Sustainability Appraisal	clarity and be more user-friendly.
	says 3.18 3.57 This allocation has significant	
	housing, health and transport objectives	
	benefits; and only a very minor one	
	negative effect on the heritage objective	
	due to the non-designated heritage	
	assets in the Dovecote Lane area.	
AM28	Cement Depot Beeston	Amendment:
		Summary updated/re-worded to add
	What the Sustainability Appraisal	clarity and be more user-friendly.
	says	
	3.21 3.60 This allocation has significant	
	benefits for health, transport and other	
	objectives and no negative effects. significant health and especially	
	transport objectives benefits, and other	
	positive effects across objectives, with	
	no negative effects.	
AM29	Policy: 3.8: Land fronting Wollaton	
	Road, Beeston	
	·	
	The paragraphs have been re-	
	numbered as follows:	
	• 3.23 3.62	
41400	• 3.24-3.63	= 44
AM30	Photos X2	Formatting:
		Additional pages had originally been
		used to ensure that policy and maps

AM31	Policy 4 Awsworth What the Sustainability Appraisal says 4.3 This allocation has significant housing, health and transport objectives benefits, with only a minor one negative effect on the 'green' biodiversity and green infrastructure objective because of the drainage from this land into the	could be read side by side. This is no longer required here due to other formatting changes and therefore the page and photographs have been removed. Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
	Nottingham Canal LNR Local Nature Reserve, which could be mitigated by enhanced drainage infrastructure.	
AM32	Policy 5: Brinsley Site Allocation	
АМ33	Policy 5.1: East of Church Lane Brinsley What the Sustainability Appraisal says 5.3 This allocation has significant housing and health objectives benefits with only negative effects on the a minor landscape objective, and on the economic structure objective, due to the distance of the settlement of Brinsley from the strategic highway network. disbenefit primarily because of the recreational value of the land, which could be mitigated by masterplanning for maintained recreational use.	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
AM34	Map 18 19	Amendment: Map numbering updated
AM35	Photos	Formatting: Additional pages had originally been used to ensure that policy and maps could be read side by side. This is no longer required here due to other formatting changes and therefore the page and photographs have been removed. Photographs were also removed in response to representations from Brinsley Vision.
AM36	Map 49- 20: The Key Settlement of Brinsley including the Housing	Amendment: Map numbering and title has been

	Allocation	updated. The Key has also been updated to include 'Housing Allocations', which is also reflected on the map.
AM37	Photos X2	Formatting: Photographs removed following Map 20.
AM38	Policy 6.1 What the Sustainability Appraisal says 6.3 This allocation has significant housing and, especially in particular, health objectives benefits;, with only a minor landscape objective disbenefit with only one negative effect on the landscape objective, principally because of D H Lawrence literary heritage association.	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
AM39	Map 22 23: The Key Settlement of Eastwood including the Housing Allocation and Commitments	Amendment: Map numbering and title has been updated. The Key has also been updated to include 'Housing Allocations', which is also reflected on the map.
AM40	Photos X2	Formatting Additional pages had originally been used to ensure that policy and maps could be read side by side. This is no longer required here due to other formatting changes and therefore the page and photographs have been removed.
AM41		removed.
AW41	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 7.3 This policy has significant housing and health objectives benefits with no significant disbenefits.	Amendment: Summary removed as site allocations all have specific Sustainability Appraisal summaries.
AM42	says 7.3 This policy has significant housing and health objectives benefits with no	Amendment: Summary removed as site allocations all have specific
	says 7.3 This policy has significant housing and health objectives benefits with no significant disbenefits. Map 25 26: The Key Settlement of Kimberley including the Housing	Amendment: Summary removed as site allocations all have specific Sustainability Appraisal summaries. Amendment: Map numbering and title has been updated. The Key has also been updated to include 'Housing Allocations', which is also reflected

Kimberley has significant positive housing and health objectives effects with only negative effects on the biodiversity and landscape objectives, the latter due to the proximity of the site to the Kimberley Dismantled Railway Local Wildlife Site (LWS). This could be mitigated by a landscape buffer., and enly one very minor negative effect on the landscape principally because it is in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to acclarity and be more user-friendly.
with only negative effects on the biodiversity and landscape objectives, the latter due to the proximity of the site to the Kimberley Dismantled Railway Local Wildlife Site (LWS). This could be mitigated by a landscape buffer., and only one very minor negative effect on the landscape principally because it is in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the site of the
biodiversity and landscape objectives, the latter due to the proximity of the site to the Kimberley Dismantled Railway Local Wildlife Site (LWS). This could be mitigated by a landscape buffer., and only one very minor negative effect on the landscape principally because it is in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the site of t
the latter due to the proximity of the site to the Kimberley Dismantled Railway Local Wildlife Site (LWS). This could be mitigated by a landscape buffer., and only one very minor negative effect on the landscape principally because it is in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accomplying the proximal provided to accomplying the proximal plan.
the latter due to the proximity of the site to the Kimberley Dismantled Railway Local Wildlife Site (LWS). This could be mitigated by a landscape buffer., and only one very minor negative effect on the landscape principally because it is in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accomplying the proximal plan.
to the Kimberley Dismantled Railway Local Wildlife Site (LWS). This could be mitigated by a landscape buffer., and only one very minor negative effect on the landscape principally because it is in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the country of the
Local Wildlife Site (LWS). This could be mitigated by a landscape buffer., and only one very minor negative effect on the landscape principally because it is in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompliance.
mitigated by a landscape buffer., and only one very minor negative effect on the landscape principally because it is in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accomply the summary updated and the summa
only one very minor negative effect on the landscape principally because it is in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan.
the landscape principally because it is in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan.
in the Babbington/Swingate/Verge Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the plan with the plan wit
Wood Mature Landscape Area, a designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the control of the con
designation which will be in any case superseded by this local plan. AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the control of the con
AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the summary and
AM44 Policy: 7.2: Land south of Eastwood Road Kimberley Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to accompany to the company and
Road Kimberley Summary updated/re-worded to a
Road Kimberley Summary updated/re-worded to a
What the Sustainability Appraisal
says
7.9 7.10 This site has a significant
positive health objective effect, as well
as several other objective effect and
several minor positive effects on other
objectives, with and no negative effects.
AM45 Map 28: Land south of Eastwood Amendment:
Road Kimberley Number of dwellings changed from
40 to 25
AM46 Monitoring Information: Formatting:
Paragraph and table re-numbered
7.13 7.11 The net number of new due to other formatting changes
dwellings in the Main Built up Area and made earlier in the document.
each of the Key Settlements will be
· ·
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Annual Authority Monitoring Report Typographical Correction
(AMR).
Table 3 4: Net new dwellings in
Broxtowe
AM47 Policy 8: Development in the Green Correction:
Belt Typographical Correction
Deit Typographical Correction
Belt Typographical Correction
What the Aligned Core Strategy
What the Aligned Core Strategy says Amendment:
What the Aligned Core Strategy says Policy 3 says that Part 2 Local Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to according to the same strategy and the same strategy are same strategy and the same strategy and the same strategy are same strategy and the same strategy and the same strategy are same strategy and the same strategy and the same strategy are same strategy and the
What the Aligned Core Strategy says Policy 3 says that Part 2 Local Plans will review Green Belt Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to acclarity and be more user-friendly.
What the Aligned Core Strategy says Policy 3 says that Part 2 Local Plans will review Green Belt boundaries; however it does not Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to acclarity and be more user-friendly.
What the Aligned Core Strategy says Policy 3 says that Part 2 Local Plans will review Green Belt boundaries; however it does not give guidance on Part 2 policies Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to acclarity and be more user-friendly.
What the Aligned Core Strategy says Policy 3 says that Part 2 Local Plans will review Green Belt boundaries; however it does not give guidance on Part 2 policies for development within the Green
What the Aligned Core Strategy says Policy 3 says that Part 2 Local Plans will review Green Belt boundaries; however it does not give guidance on Part 2 policies Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to acclarity and be more user-friendly.

8.2 The government and the Borough Council place considerable importance on promoting healthy communities. The NPPF does not indicate that any changes of use of open land are 'not inappropriate' in the Green Belt. However, the Council believes that in Broxtowe protection of the Green Belt can be combined with supporting changes of use to outdoor sport and outdoor recreation in order encourage healthy lifestyles, and this belief is recognised in point 53 of the policy.

8.3 ...

This clarification was included in the assessment criteria that was were used in the 'Preferred Approach to Site Allocations (Green Belt Review)' consultation of February 2015, following previous consultation and agreement with Ashfield, Gedling and Nottingham City Councils.

What the Sustainability Appraisal says

8.4 The policy is considered likely to have a minor positive effect against on the housing and economic structure objectives as it could aid the diversification of the rural economy, as well as providing possibly diversify the mix of housing, and provide a flexible approach for extensions to agricultural residential and commercial buildings in the Green Belt., and especially It also has a positive effect against the health objective as it is likely to promote recreational uses. by promoting recreational uses. It has an uncertain effect against the heritage and landscape objectives depending upon locations.

AM48

Policy 9: Retention of good quality existing employment sites

What the Aligned Core Strategy says

Amendment:

Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.

	Policy 4h)ii) implies that Part 2 Local Plans will retain good quality existing employment sites. Paragraph 3.4.19 says that pPart 2 Plans will protect existing employment land and premises that are well-located and continue to meet the needs of modern businesses. Beeston: Beeston Business Park, Technology Drive Chilwell: Simplex Knitting Co., 164 Bye Pass Road Watnall: Main Road, Fernwood Drive, Common Road Lane and British Bakeries Ltd What the Sustainability Appraisal says 9.4 The policy has significant positive effects on the economic objectives- employment, innovation, and especially economic structure objectives, with only a minor negative effect on the housing	
	objective as some sites might otherwise be available for housing. with no	
AM49	Policy 9	
	Photo deleted	
AM50	Policy 10: Town Centre and District Centre Uses What the Aligned Core Strategy says Policy 6.1 also says that Part 2 Local Plans will define Ceentres of	Correction : Typographical Correction
AM51	Neighbourhood Importance, where appropriate. Beeston Town Centre:	Correction:
	10.2 10.4 The amendments to the Town and District Centre boundaries goes further that than changes recommended in the Greater Nottingham Retail Assessment, but follows guidance form	Typographical Correction Correction: Update to reflect amendment made through Main Modifications.

from the Council's economic development team is in achieving more compact centres to focus retail and other town centres uses in smaller areas, and combined with a pro-active strategy to increase main town centre uses and housing in upper floors which are currently underutilised. The comparatively small reduction in town centre boundaries will be counterbalanced by a proactive strategy to secure high density development within Beeston Town Centre on phase two of the Sequare re-development.

thresholds under part 1 of the policy applies apply to both numbers of units within the centre and the total ground floor frontage within the centre. The 50% 60% threshold under part 1 of the policy applies to both numbers of units within the primary shopping frontage and the total ground floor frontage within the primary shopping frontage.

10.3 10.5 Key shopping areas...

Monitoring

- Proportion (shown as a percentage by use class) of A1 and 'main town centre' ground floor units within the Town and District Centre boundaries.
- Proportion (shown as a percentage by use class) of A1 and 'main town centre' units within the primary frontage of the Town and District Centres.
- Number and type of permissions granted at upper floor level for 'main town centre use' or residential within the Town

Correction:

Update to reflect amendment made through **Main Modifications**.

AM52	and District Centre boundaries. 10.7 10.8 The restrictions of 10% and 20% of non-retail uses in Policy 10 will encourage the Council to assess schemes for particular types of non-A1 development on an individual basis. Beeston Town Centre: 10.5 10.7 The Beeston Town Centre Boundary	
	Justification 10.6-10.8 To encourage residential development	
AM53	Map 33-29: Beeston Town Centre	
AM54	The following site is allocated for mixed use, retail-led development, as shown on page 95 and the Policies Map: Policy: 11 The Square, Beeston 11.1 Phase 1 of the Town Centre redevelopment has recently been completed around The Square shopping precinct, including a new 24/7 gym and retail units. Beeston is one of the UK's leading areas in terms of public transport modernisation with the recent extension of the Nottingham Express Transit tram line and with a new bus and tram interchange in the town centre. The second phase of The	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 11.4 The policy has significant positive effects on the housing, health, social and transport objectives effects, principally because of the extent of the residential component, and no negatives. The Town Centre location provides existing infrastructure to	

	benefit the health, social and transport needs from of the new development.	
AM55	Map 34 30: The Square Beeston	
AM56	100+ 132 dwellings	Correction:
AIVISO	Policy 12: Edge-of-Centre A1 Retail in Eastwood	Update to reflect amendment made through Main Modifications .
	Eastwood District Centre 12.212.3To The District Centre boundary of Eastwood has been contracted to the west and south. This is to encourage residential development at ground floor level in suitable areas outside of the new boundary. This will not result in unmet retail need, as identified in the Greater Nottingham Retail Study.	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
	12.3 12.4The restrictions of 10% and 20% of non-retail uses (as set out in Policy 10) will encourage the Council to assess schemes for particular types of non-A1 development on an individual basis, this is particularly important for the District Centre.	
	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 12.4 12.5 The policy has a significant positive effect on the transport objective because it is accessible this area is located so close to the town centre, and as well as several minor positive effects on other objectives,; but has a negative effect on the heritage objective because of the potential impact upon the adjacent Eastwood Conservation Area. a potentially very minor negative effect on the economic structure objective because it may be a constraint on the excluded development types.	
AM57	Map 35-31: Eastwood District Centre and edge-of-centre A1 retail area	
AM58	Kimberley District Centre: 12.5 12.7 Kimberley District Centre boundary has been contracted to the	Correction: Update to reflect amendment made through MM22.

	west	
	Justification 12.8 12.8 This is to encourage residential development at ground floor level in suitable areas outside of the new boundary. This will not result in unmet retail need, as identified in the Greater Nottingham Retail Study. 12.7-12.9 The restrictions of 10% and	
	20% of non-retail uses (as set out in Policy 10) will encourage the Council to assess schemes for particular types of non-A1 development on an individual basis, this is particularly important for the District Centre.	
AM59	Stapleford District Centre:	Correction:
	12.8 12.10 Stapleford District Centre	Typographical Correction
	boundary has been contracted to the north and south	Update to reflect amendment made through MM22 .
	Justification 12.9 12.11The amendments to the District Centre boundary is are to encourage residential development at ground floor level in suitable areas outside of the new boundary. This will not result in unmet retail need, as identified in the Greater Nottingham Retail Study.	
	12.10 12.12 The restrictions of 10% and 20% of non-retail uses (as set out in Policy 10) will encourage the Council to assess schemes for particular types of non-A1 development on an individual basis, this is particularly important for the District Centre.	
AM60	Map 37 33: Stapleford District Centre	
AM61	Policy 13: Proposals for main town centre uses in edge-of-centre and out-of-centre locations	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
	13.1 13.2 Both parts 1 and 2 of this policy	

13.2 13.3 This policy strongly supports the... 13.3 13.4 Evidence of need for retail development... **13.4 13.5** The Carter Jonas ... 13.5 It clearly indicates that growth... (Note: This section in the main mods P2LP version is joined to the previous text.) 13.6 13.7 The retail study strongly recommends 13.7 13.8 It also recommends a local floorspace... What the Sustainability Appraisal 13.8 13.9 The policy has most effect upon the transport objective, a significant positive effect on the transport objective, as because development would is likely to be guided towards locations benefiting from better public transport. Town Centre transport hubs. Generally, the policy has less significant effect on other objectives but positive ones, favouring a policy, except on the economic structure objective which has a very minor negative, as no further policy making may free up locational choices for retail investors. The policy also benefits several other objectives, but may have a negative effect upon the economic structure objective, as it may constrain locations for certain types of development. What consultation responses say **13.9 13.10** In response to the... AM62 Policy 14: Centre of Neighbourhood Amendment: Importance (Chilwell Road / High Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly. Road)

What the Sustainability Appraisal

	 says 14.4 14.5 The allocation policy has a major significantly positive effect on the housing objective due to the protection for residential units at ground floor level, as well as due to the homes on upper floors inclusion; and minor positive effects on most other objectives including the social and transport objectives. Monitoring The number and type of permissions granted within the Centre of Neighbourhood Importance The proportion (shown as a percentage) of ground floor residential units within the Centre of Neighbourhood Importance. The number and type of permissions granted at upper floor level for 'main town centre' uses and residential within the Centre of Neighbourhood Importance. 	
AM63	Map 38 34: Centre of Neighbourhood Importance (Chilwell Road/High Road)	
AM64	Policy 15: Housing Size, Mix and Choice What the Sustainability Appraisal says 15.11 15.12 The policy has significant positive effects upon, as would be expected, the housing objective with a positive impact, and the and health objectives, where the benefit of as the policy should help to deliver additional affordable housing. in a sustainable residential environment even outweighs relying upon current policy. Equally, the policy provides a very significant benefit by facilitating local social interaction. Monitoring	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly. Monitoring requirement amended to reflect the deletion of part 8 of the policy (MM28).

	 The number of completions by dwelling type and size. The number of Aaffordable Hhousing completions. The number of completions that comply with requirement M4(2) of the Building Regulations. The number of serviced plots for self-build or custom-build provided. The number of custom-build homes delivered. 	
AM65	Map 39-35: the uUrban sSub- mMarkets within Broxtowe Borough	Amendment: Numbering and title of map updated. The Legend has also been updated to reflect percentages.
AM66	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 16.4 The policy has positive effects upon, as would be expected, the	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
AM67	housing and health objectives. objective, and the health objective for a vulnerable group. Policy 17: Place-making, Design and Amenity	Text changes
	What consultation responses say 17.7 17.8 In response to the 'Issues and Options' consultation, representations were made that design policy should be locally distinctive and should refer to biodiversity and occupiers' amenity, among other things. Other respondents felt that any policy should not be unduly prescriptive and that the Aligned Core Strategy is sufficient. At the 'Topics Workshops' there was support for including detailed design guidance in the Plan, however there was also concern, again, that the Plan should not be unduly prescriptive.	
	MonitoringThe proportion of permissions (of for 10 or	

	more dwellings) which achieve at least nine 'greens' against in-house assessment of the quality of design with regard to BfL 12.	
AM68	Policy 18: Shopfronts, signage and security measures	Correction: Grammar
	 Proposals for shopfronts, signage and security measures will be granted permission/consent provided that they: That they r Relate well to the design of the building concerned; Are in keeping with the frontage as a whole; and Respect the character of the area. 	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
	Photo removed	
	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 18.5 The policy has most effect upon the has a positive effect upon the heritage objective, as it should protect heritage assets whilst still enabling the provision of security measures. a positive effect because development would be managed by design.	
AM69	Policy 19: Pollution, Hazardous Substances and Ground Conditions	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
	What the Aligned Core Strategy says Policy 10.3 says that Part 2 Plans will set out standards for design, sustainability and place making. What the Sustainability Appraisal says	
	19.13 The policy has significant positive effects on the heritage and natural resources, and, to a lesser extent, on the heritage objectives. It also has positive effects on a number of other	

		T
	objectives. objectives, because it may	
	mitigate potential harm to the historic	
	environment for the former objective.	
AM70	Policy 20: Air Quality	Amendment:
	What the Sustainability Appraisal says	Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
	20.3 The policy has significant positive	
	effects on the green and natural	
	resources, and, to a lesser extent, on	
	the biodiversity objectives. through	
	managing potential detrimental effects.	
	It potentially has very minor negative	
	economic effects by restricting built	
	development. on the housing and	
	economic structure objectives as the	
	potential locations of new development	
	may be restricted.	
AM71	Policy 21: Unstable Land	Correction:
	1 45 41	Typographical Correction
	Justification	A
	21.1 The Coal Authority has defined a	Amendment:
	'Designated Development High Risk	Summary updated/re-worded to add
	Area' which is shown on the map on	clarity and be more user-friendly.
	page 121 Map 36.	
	What the Sustainability Appraisal	
	says	
	21.2 The policy has positive effects on	
	the health and green biodiversity and	
	green infrastructure objectives. It	
	potentially has very minor negative	
	economic effects by restricting built	
	development. effects on the housing	
	and economic structure objectives as it	
	may restrict the locations of built	
	development or impact upon viability.	
AM72	Map 40 36: The Coal Authority	
	' Designated High Risk' Area's	
AM73	Policy 22: Minerals	Amendment:
	_	Summary updated/re-worded to add
	What the Sustainability Appraisal	clarity and be more user-friendly.
	says	
	22.2 The policy has negative effects	
	upon the economic structure and	
	housing objectives as it may impact	
	upon development viability. has a	
	positive effect on the economic	
	structure objective but only supports the	
	Minerals Planning Authority in decision-	

	taking. This also has a potentially	
	insignificant positive effect on housing	
	by managing the potential sterilisation	
	of land for mineral extraction.	
AM74	Map 41 37: The Mineral Safeguarding	
AWIT	and Consultation Areas	
AM75	Policy 23: Proposals affecting d	Correction:
711170	Designated and nNon-dDesignated	Typographical Correction
	hHeritage aAssets	Typograpinioai Gerroelleri
	and the good of the control of the c	Formatting:
	23.3 There are 16 Conservation Areas	Maps moved to Appendix 3 and
	in the Borough, as shown on the	reference to this inserted into text.
	Policies Map and detailed in Appendix	
	34 described on the following pages,	Formatting:
	these are:	Names amended to list Street then
	Attenborough Village	Town
	Barratt Lane Attenborough,	
	Barratt Lane	Amendment:
	 St John's Grove Beeston, St 	Clarify that the Council will work with
	John's Grove	a wide range of local groups and not
	 West End Beeston, West End 	just Civic Societies.
	Bramcote	
	Brinsley	Amendment:
	Chilwell	Summary updated/re-worded to add
	 Cottage Grove Chilwell, Cottage 	clarity and be more user-friendly;
	Grove	and in response to representations
	• Cossall	from Historic England.
	Eastwood	
	Kimberley	
	Nuthall	
	Sandiacre Lock Sharelaford	
	Church Street Stapleford, Church Street	
	Church Street	
	 Nottingham Road Stapleford, Nottingham Road 	
	Strelley	
	Strelley	
	Photo removed	
	T HOLO TOHIOTOG	
	23.4 There are three Article 4 Directions	
	in the Borough, as shown on the	
	Policies Map and detailed in Appendix	
	34 described on the following pages,	
	this these restricts certain 'permitted'	
	development' rights. The Article 4	
	Directions are:	
	Cossall	
	Strelley	
	Part of Kimberley	
	·	

23.5 The Council will aim to produce Appraisals and Management Plans for all its Conservation Areas and will consider the merits of amendments to Conservation Area boundaries. It will also consider the production of a Local List of non-designated assets, criteria for their identification and/or an associated SPD. The Council will look to work pro-actively with established local voluntary groups including Civic and Local History Societies to aid understanding of the local historic environment.

What the Sustainability Appraisal says

23.6 As was hoped, the policy is considered likely to have a very major positive effect against the heritage objective. This could be only improved by further detail as set out in the ACS. It has a significant positive effect against the natural resources objective because it is positive towards conserving assets rather than using raw materials. It has minor negative effects on the crime and economic objectives because heritage protection could be seen as a constraint to development. The policy is considered to have a very positive effect on the heritage objective but may have a negative effect upon the housing and economic structure objectives, as it could be seen as a constraint to development, (as well as a positive element contributing to heritage-led regeneration).

Monitoring

- A decrease in tThe number of heritage assets at risk on the national register.
- An increase in t
 The number of Conservation Area

 Appraisals.

	X2 Photos removed	
AM76	Policy 24: The h-Health and Wellbeing i Impacts of d Development	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 24.1 The checklist on pages 140-151 Appendix 4 5 that is referred to in the policy was produced by Nottinghamshire County Council, in consultation with partner authorities and organisations, and was published in 'Spatial Planning for the Health and Well-being of Nottinghamshire, Nottingham City & Erewash' (2016).	
	24.4 The policy has significant positive effects on the health and social objectives. There may be a negative effect upon the economic structure objective, as the policy may restrict the acceptable locations for some types of development.	
AM77	Table 5: Health Impact Assessment Checklist	Formatting: Moved to appendix 4
AM78	Policy 25: Culture, Tourism and Sport	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add
	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 25.2 The policy is considered likely to have significant positive effects against on the health and landscape objectives, and as well as more minor positive effects on several minor benefits against others-objectives. There is only a potentially very minor negative effect on transport due to tourist travel which could be mitigated by promoting sustainable travel and travel plans. There might be a minor negative effect	clarity and be more user-friendly.
	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 25.2 The policy is considered likely to have significant positive effects against on the health and landscape objectives, and as well as more minor positive effects on several minor benefits against others-objectives. There is only a potentially very minor negative effect on transport due to tourist travel which could be mitigated by promoting sustainable travel and travel plans. There might be a minor negative effect on the transport objective, were an increase in facilities for tourism to result in an increase in travel by car.	clarity and be more user-friendly.
AM79	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 25.2 The policy is considered likely to have significant positive effects against on the health and landscape objectives, and as well as more minor positive effects on several minor benefits against others-objectives. There is only a potentially very minor negative effect on transport due to tourist travel which could be mitigated by promoting sustainable travel and travel plans. There might be a minor negative effect on the transport objective, were an increase in facilities for tourism to result	

1	Policy 14.3 aims to ensure	clarity and be more user-friendly.
	Ensures the delivery of	
	sustainable transport networks to	
	serve new development. This	
	includes; site specific and area	
	wide travel demand management,	
	improvements to public transport	
	services, walking and cycling facilities, optimising the existing	
	highway network to prioritise	
	public transport, Network	
	Management measures and then	
	highway capacity enhancements.	
	What the Sustainability Appraisal	
	says	
	26.2 The policy has significant positive	
	effects on the transport objective as well	
	as other objectives including health and natural resources. and benefits several	
	other objectives.	
AM80	Policy 27: Local Green Space	Amendment:
	What the Sustainability Appraisal	Summary updated/re-worded to add
	says	clarity and be more user-friendly.
	27.6 -27.4The policy has significant	
	positive effects on the health, social,	
	biodiversity and green infrastructure,	
	and transport objectives as LGS	
	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy	
	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only	
	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy	
	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the	
	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to	
	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects	
	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say	
AMO	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say 27.7 27.5 In response to the	
AM81	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say 27.7 27.5 In response to the Policy 28: Green Infrastructure	Amendment:
AM81	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say 27.7 27.5 In response to the	Summary updated/re-worded to add
AM81	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say 27.7 27.5 In response to the Policy 28: Green Infrastructure	
AM81	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say 27.7 27.5 In response to the Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets	Summary updated/re-worded to add
AM81	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say 27.7 27.5 In response to the Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets What the Sustainability Appraisal says 28.7 28.8 The policy has significant	Summary updated/re-worded to add
AM81	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say 27.7 27.5 In response to the Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets What the Sustainability Appraisal says 28.7 28.8 The policy has significant positive effects on the health, social,	Summary updated/re-worded to add
AM81	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say 27.7 27.5 In response to the Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets What the Sustainability Appraisal says 28.7 28.8 The policy has significant positive effects on the health, social, landscape, and especially the	Summary updated/re-worded to add
AM81	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say 27.7 27.5 In response to the Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets What the Sustainability Appraisal says 28.7 28.8 The policy has significant positive effects on the health, social, landscape, and especially the biodiversity and green infrastructure	Summary updated/re-worded to add
AM81	and transport objectives as LGS designation is under "promoting healthy communities" in the NPPF. The only very minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability effects relate to the potential restrictions to locations for development. What consultation responses say 27.7 27.5 In response to the Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets What the Sustainability Appraisal says 28.7 28.8 The policy has significant positive effects on the health, social, landscape, and especially the	Summary updated/re-worded to add

AM82	objectives. The only minor negative effect is on the housing objective because of a potential restriction on land availability and viability due to planning obligations. The only negative effects are on the housing and economic structure objectives, as the policy may restrict development locations or impact upon development viability. Policy 29: Cemetery e-Extensions Justification 29.1 It is necessary to protect the sites shown on pages 163-164 Maps 41 and 42 for cemetery extensions so as to ensure that adequate burial plots are available in the future. The extensions will be implemented as and when necessary during the plan period. What the Sustainability Appraisal says 29.2 This policy has significant positive effects on the social and biodiversity and green infrastructure objectives, because they are as cemeteries are community facilities and support wildlife in a multi-functional green setting.; with no negative effects. The policy also has positive effects on the heritage and	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
AM83	environment and landscape objectives and no negative effects on any objectives. Man 64 444 Comptons Extension at	
AIVIOS	Map-64 41: Cemetery Extension at Church Walk Brinsley	
AM84	Map 65-42: Cemetery Extension at Field Lane Chilwell	
AM85	Policy 30: Landscape All developments within, or affecting the setting of, the local landscape character areas listed below should make a positive contribution to the quality and local distinctiveness of the landscape. They should therefore be consistent with the 'landscape actions' for the area concerned, as set out in the Greater Nottingham Landscape Character Assessment and in Appendix 67of this	Correction: Updated with amended appendix number.

	Plan.	
	30.2 The GNLCA identifies nine local landscape character areas in Broxtowe (also referred to in the GNLCA as 'draft policy zones'). These are partly derived from national and regional areas and are therefore grouped under the relevant regional character area: Magnesian Limestone (ML), Nottinghamshire Coalfield (NC), Sherwood (SH) and Trent & Soar Valley (TSV). The Local areas in Broxtowe are shown on the map on page 167 Map 43 and are:	
AM86	What the Sustainability Appraisal says 30.9 The policy is considered likely to have major significant positive effects against on the environment and landscape, social, biodiversity, natural resources, and energy and climate change and obviously landscape objectives. It may potentially have negative effects on the housing, economic structure and transport objectives as built development and/or major transport infrastructure might be restricted or constrained.	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
AM87	Map 66 43: Local Landscape	
AM88	Policy 31: Biodiversity Assets What the Sustainability Appraisal	Amendment: Summary updated/re-worded to add clarity and be more user-friendly.
	says 31.3 As was hoped, tThe policy is considered likely to have a major positive effect against on the biodiversity and green infrastructure the green objectives and a significant positive effect against the natural resources objective. It has more minor positive effects on other objectives. Any unconstrained growth in homes and economic development will have opposing effects on those objectives. It may have very minor negative effects on the built development objectives, such as housing and economic	

AM90	Table & Key Monitoring Indicators	Text changes to reflect alterations in monitoring boxes in the policies.
A 1400		Toyt changes to reflect the matieur
	economic structure objectives as it may potentially impact upon the viability of some schemes.	
	these objectives. The policy has a negative effect upon the housing and	
	flooding and transport as it will help to seek developer contributions to support	
	upon most objectives, including health, heritage, social, natural resources and	
	policy has significant positive effects	
	and economic structure because public transport options are not included. The	
	some objectives, especially transport	
	supporting other objectives, by omission leading to significant negative effects on	
	options for developer contributions	
	Subject to viability, which is another decision-taking guide, there were other	
	development would be unacceptable.	
	which should be expected from developer contributions without which	
	positive benefit to the social objective,	
	32.2 32.4 The policy has a significant	
	What the Sustainability Appraisal says	
	provided in the Part 2 Local Plan.	
	detailed information will be	
	says Paragraph 3.19.5 says that more	clarity and be more user-friendly.
	What the Aligned Core Strategy	Summary updated/re-worded to add
AM89	Policy 32: Developer Contributions	Amendment:
41400	•	
	reasons for that permission those permissions.	
	Biodiversity Asset and the	
	that has harmed a	
	 The number of permissions granted for development 	
	Monitoring	
	to constraints.	
	acceptable or impact upon viability. due	
	structure, as the policy may restrict the locations where development is	

Policy	Monitoring
1: Flood Risk	The number of permissions in flood risk areas granted contrary to Environment Agency advice.
2-7: Site Allocations	6150 new homes in Broxtowe by 2028. Indicator: Net additional homes in Broxtowe. Minimum of 3800 new homes in the Main Built up Area by 2028. Indicator: Net additional homes in the Main Built up Area. Up to 350 new homes in Awsworth by 2028. Indicator: Net additional homes in Awsworth. Up to 150 new homes in Brinsley by 2028. Indicator: Net additional homes in Brinsley. Up to 1250 new homes in Brinsley. Up to 1250 new homes in Eastwood by 2028. Indicator: Net additional

	Eastwood. Up to 600 new homes in Kimberley by 2028. Indicator: Net additional homes in Kimberley.	
8: Development in the Green Belt	The number of permissions granted contrary to Green Belt policy by the Council and by inspectors, together with the reasons for those decisions.	
9: Retention of good quality existing employment sites	The amount (floorspace and area) and type of B Class employment lost to other uses on the specified sites. The net gain and loss (floorspace and area) of B Class employment provision by type within the Borough.	

10: Town	Proportion (shown	
Centre Uses	as a percentage	
	by use class) of	
	A1 and 'main	
	town centre'	
	ground floor	
	units within the	
	Town and	
	District Centre	
	boundaries.	
	Proportion (shown	
	as a percentage	
	by use class) of	
	A1 and 'main	
	town centre'	
	units within the	
	primary frontage	
	of the Town and	
	District Centres.	
	Number and type of	
	permissions	
	granted at upper	
	floor level for	
	'main town	
	centre use' or	
	housing within	
	the Town and	
	District Centre	
	boundaries.	
13: Proposals	The number of	
for retail in	permissions and	
edge-of-centre	the amount of	
and out-of-	floor space	
centre locations	permitted in the	
	centres and in	
	the edge-of-	
	centre and out-	
	of-centre	
	locations.	

•		
14: Centre of Neighbourhood Importance (Chilwell Road / High Road)	The number and type of permissions granted within the Centre of Neighbourhood Importance. The proportion (shown as a percentage) of ground floor residential units within the Centre of Neighbourhood Importance. The number and type of permissions granted at upper floor level for 'main town centre' uses and residential within the Centre of Neighbourhood Importance.	
15: Housing size, mix and choice	The number of completions by dwelling type and size. The number of Aaffordable Hhousing completions. The number of completions that comply with requirement M4(2) of the	

		Building Regulations. The number of serviced plots for self-build or custom-build provided. The number of custom-build homes delivered.	
	6: Gypsies nd Travellers	The number of pitches which are allocated, granted permission and implemented.	
ma	7: Place- laking, design nd amenity	The proportion of permissions (of for 10 or more dwellings) which achieve at least nine 'greens' against in-house assessment of the quality of design with regard to BfL 12.	
sig	8: Shopfronts, gnage and ecurity easures	The number of permissions for shutters which are, or are not in accordance with the policy.	
Ha Su Gr	9: Pollution, azardous ubstances and round onditions	The number of permissions which are contrary to the advice of the Council's	

	Environmental Health department and/or the Environment Agency, together with the reasons for those decisions.
20: Air Quality	The number of electric vehicle charging points delivered.
21: Unstable land	The number of permissions which are contrary to the advice of the Coal Authority, together with the reasons for those decisions.
22: Minerals	The number of permissions which are contrary to the recommendation s of the County Council or the Coal Authority.
23: Proposals affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets	A decrease in tThe number of heritage assets at risk on the national register. An increase in tThe number of Conservation Area Appraisals.

24: The health	The number of	
impacts of development	applications for which a Health Impact Assessment Checklist is required, together with the outcome of those applications. The number of applications relating to A5 uses within 400m of schools, together with the outcome of those applications.	
25: Culture, Tourism and Sport	Number, type and area of net additional sports pitches. Number and type of applications relating to tourism.	
26: Travel Plans	The number of applications for which a Travel Plan is required, together with the outcome of those applications.	
27: Local Green Space	The number of permissions granted contrary to the Local Green Space policy by the	

	Council and by inspectors, together with the reasons for those decisions.
28: Green Infrastructure Assets	Percentage of the population with access to Green Infrastructure in accordance with the Broxtowe Green Space Standard.
29: Cemetery Extensions	The 'take-up' of the site(s) as a cemetery extension.
30: Landscape	The number of permissions granted which are inconsistent with the 'landscape actions' of the Greater Nottingham Landscape Character Assessment.
31: Biodiversity Assets	The number of permissions granted for development that has harmed a Biodiversity Asset and the reasons for that permission those permissions.

|--|