

STAPLEFORD TOWN FUND EXECUTIVE BOARD

Friday 29 May 2020 at 2.00 pm
Broxtowe Borough Council, MS Teams Meeting

PRESENT:

Councillor David Grindell	Broxtowe Borough Council
Ian Jowett (Chair)	WMD Ltd
Paul Sweeney (Vice Chair)	Robert Ellis Estate Agents
Ruth Hyde	Broxtowe Borough Council
Darren Henry MP	MP for Broxtowe Borough Council
Cllr Richard Jackson	Nottinghamshire County Council
John McGrath	Stapleford Community
Councillor Ella Kearney	Stapleford Town Council
Paul Gaughan	Paul Gaughan Property Consultants
Will Morlidge	D2N2 LEP
Liz Clarke	GT3 Architects
Matt McCreith	GT3 Architects
Jason Pacey	HS2
David Brierley	HS2

OBSERVERS

Rebecca Ogden	Broxtowe Borough Council
Paolo Coyle	GT3 Architects
Harriett Thacker	Rizk McCay
John McKay	Rizk McCay
Nadia Rizk	Rizk McCay

APOLOGIES:

Jeff Edwards	Edwards Clegg Solicitors
Frank Taylor	Property Investment
Cllr Richard MacRae	Broxtowe Borough Council
Ryan Dawson	Broxtowe Borough Council

	ACTION
<u>Welcome and Introductions</u>	
IJ welcomed the Executive Board to the virtual meeting and asked RO to email him with a list of attendees.	RO
<u>Apologies of absence</u>	
Apologies of absence were received and noted.	
<u>Agree Minutes of previous Meeting</u>	
Minutes of the previous meeting dated 1 May 2020 were approved and seconded.	
<u>Declarations of interest</u>	

There were no declarations of interested noted.

GT3 findings – Initial Mapping of Feedback to date

MM gave an overview of the Stakeholder Executive Summary document which had been prepared from all consultation data from the wider public. RO will circulate on 1/6/20 the updated Overview and the presentation slides.

RO

The results were collated from the Vision Workshop and allocated under four headlines:

- Reinvest in the town centre
- Cycle infrastructure for health and well being
- Strong community spirit
- Business growth for skills and entrepreneurial development

The vision for the masterplan was illustrated by route maps showing the flow of traffic through the town from west to east and how improvements could be made by providing an alternative route to allow a more pedestrianised area. RO's discussions with Highways revealed that we wouldn't be able to pedestrianise all of the high street.

GT3 looked at constraints, opportunities and a realistic masterplan specifically for the high street area. They identified two potential development sites of land and an underutilised building which is not yet available but it could house a central Hub with cycle links from the west of the town.

Their design method was pedestrian focused similar to West Bridgford. There would be a focal meeting point with a Gateway to the town. Where there were vacant businesses these traders could be moved further into the high street.

They proposed to set back buildings creating wider pavements and avoid sole use of buildings/areas which could open up a night time economy. Existing shop fronts would be enhanced, more office space to be created and utilise the Arthur Mee centre for skills and training opportunities.

LC explained the four key outputs enabled them to work with the community and cycle network with input from Highways to achieve what people wanted.

EK's concern was the effect of the night time economy on the surrounding residential area. MM noted the two predominantly residential areas within the town centre boundary and suggested the Hub could be moved so that it would not impact on the residents.

<p>EK asked where the green directive and climate emergency by 2027 fitted into the Plan.</p> <p>MM included a cycling network to promote low carbon emissions to reduce the amount of traffic passing through the town.</p> <p>IJ asked if there was any other external funding that could be used for electric charging points aside from the £25m town funding. RO/DH advised that there were other potential funding streams available.</p> <p>It was revealed that only a covered market area was feasible due to historic covenants. EK would provide GT3 with contact details.</p> <p>DG believed the area around The Roach was an eyesore which could have potential if re-developed for upstarting businesses close to the residential homes to be built behind Cliffe Hill Avenue.</p> <p>DH advised that a couple of large projects of £10-15m would encourage more investment and well-being and to use the money wisely as opposed to smaller improvements trying to make the town look more aesthetically appealing.</p> <p>EK was concerned that this would not include any green/ low carbon infrastructure.</p> <p>MM explained that the different objectives of sustainability, community and aspirations are all joined holistically and would welcome any further thoughts, feelings or ideas.</p> <p>PS proposed his vision for the town to be given a Gateway at The Roach and developed down to Iceland area.</p> <p>WM advised that not everything that is good for Stapleford needs to be included in one bid. For business innovation it would be beneficial to spend some money on superfast broadband.</p> <p>MM noted that ARUP had included internet activity for a future proof plan. PS confirmed that his office in the town had great internet connectivity already.</p>	<p>EK</p>
<p><u>Agree Vision for Stapleford</u></p> <p>RO presented 5 vision objectives to sit alongside the already disseminated 3 vision statements. Board members had been asked to rank the vision statements from 1 to 3 (1 being best, 3 being worst). There was a tie between the first and third statement and not all board members had voted. She asked if a decision on the first and third option could be made in the meeting or to wait for the remaining four Board Members to receive their results. She asked for a discussion of people's thoughts.</p>	

<p>PS would like to include 'all encompassing' into the Statement for all NSEW directions to meet at the centre of Stapleford.</p> <p>RH was uninspired by the choices which needed to be more ambitious to stand out with reference to Stapleford's close proximity to the HS2 station and its connections making it a unique selling point and potential for the town.</p> <p>Harriett advised that she would be able to craft a bank of messages to include HS2 as part of the branding and to rank options.</p> <p>DH focused on perceived investment with Enterprise Zones being central creating green jobs producing low carbon for the area.</p> <p>RJ would like to promote the accessibility of HS2 into the town.</p> <p>JMcG recognised that children and schools needed to be the focus as it would be for their future.</p> <p>RO to email Harriett with Board agreements and ideas and discuss timescales.</p> <p>JMcK advised that at the Branding Workshop the wording will be made more dynamic and positive.</p>	
<p><u>Agree sub-committee for Design work (up to 6 people)</u></p> <p>It was agreed that the following Executive Board members would attend an inaugural sub-committee meeting on Monday 1 June to discuss their design ideas for the Plan with Harriett and John McKay.</p> <p>Ian Jowett Darren Henry MP Cllr David Grindell Cllr Ella Kearney Cllr John McGrath Cllr Richard MacRae</p> <p>RO to update the Terms of Reference for agreement at the next board meeting.</p>	<p>RO</p>

<p><u>Update on capacity fund spend</u></p> <p>RO referred to the previously circulated Capacity Fund update to illustrate that half of the budget had already been spent. IJ asked if ARUP were still involved in the project. RO explained that they had been paid for the commissioned work. However, Thomas Lister will work with MM/LC at GT3 to create OBC.</p>	
<p><u>Update Work Programme</u></p> <p>The updated Work Programme was circulated with the agenda papers for reference.</p>	
<p><u>Future Meeting Dates</u></p> <p>Friday 26 June at 2.00 pm (format/venue to be agreed)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agree key priorities/projects; test against themes of prospectus and completed OBCs 2. Branding update <p>RO will circulate papers in advance of the meeting.</p> <p>Friday 24 July Friday 21 August (if permitted)</p>	<p>RO</p>

MEETING CLOSED AT 3.10 PM