

**STAPLEFORD TOWN FUND EXECUTIVE BOARD**

**Friday 1 May 2020 at 2.00 pm**  
Broxtowe Borough Council, MS Teams Meeting

**PRESENT:**

Councillor David Grindell	Broxtowe Borough Council
Ian Jowett (Chair)	WMD Ltd
Paul Sweeney (Vice Chair)	Robert Ellis Estate Agents
Ruth Hyde	Broxtowe Borough Council
Darren Henry MP	MP for Broxtowe Borough Council
Councillor John McGrath	Stapleford Community Centre
Ryan Dawson	Broxtowe Borough Council
Rebecca Ogden	Broxtowe Borough Council
Councillor Ella Kearney	Stapleford Town Council
Jeff Edwards	Edwards Clegg Solicitors
Louise Lyddiatt	Hawley and Rogers Solicitors
Paul Gaughan	Paul Gaughan Property Consultants
Will Morlidge	D2N2 LEP
Liz Clarke	GT3
Matt McCreith	GT3
Jason Pacey	HS2

**APOLOGIES:**

Jessica Brannan	Broxtowe Youth Homelessness
Frank Taylor	Property Investment
Cllr Richard Jackson	Nottinghamshire County Council
Cllr Richard MacRae	Broxtowe Borough Council

	<b>ACTION</b>
<b><u>Welcome and Introductions</u></b>	
IJ welcomed the Executive Board to the virtual meeting and introductions were made.	
<b><u>Apologies of absence</u></b>	
Apologies of absence were received and noted.	
<b><u>Declarations of interest</u></b>	
There were no declarations of interested noted.	
<b><u>Liz and Matt to Present GT3 Report Findings</u></b>	
MM referred to the Stapleford Masterplan Vision Document which collated responses from the Vision Workshop three weeks ago. The outcomes helped to formulate trends and provide an executive summary. Any updates following discussions held during the meeting will be re-issued within the next couple of weeks.	

<p>The Place Standard Wheel illustrated on page 7 did not show any significantly high or low performances for the area. The area was perceived to be well connected although public transport scored low. LC admitted E/W was good but not N/S routes.</p> <p>MM compared results of: 1. How Stapleford currently looks and feels and 2. How will Stapleford will look and feel post project. Primarily there are negative views for the current look but positive views for how it feels which highlights the community cohesion of the area.</p> <p>The strategic objectives were listed in order of priority by how many people rated a higher score. These results were tiered 1-5 with connectivity to a wider network being most important. It was recognised that parts of Stapleford needed internal connectivity and draw transport inwardly. Although housing was not considered an important issue it was recognised that private sector housing being invested in the area alongside HS2 gives an economic opportunity for investment in this area. The area needed a better night life to attract custom.</p> <p>EK explained that Stapleford Town Council’s Strategic Agreement was delayed but once it had been agreed it will be presented as it looks at the town’s Saxon heritage and blue plaque programme. PS referred to the Saxon Cross at The Roach although Stapleford was not known as a cultural centre.</p> <p>LC suggested a needs assessment of what physical interaction would work for the town if a leisure centre was viable for example.</p> <p>DH advised that he had not yet been given a response to a delayed deadline due to the pandemic and therefore the Board should continue to work towards the summer timeframe. This was Agreed.</p> <p>MM summarised the Activities, Functions and Events results on page 34 for high, medium and low priority areas. LC suggested to focus on the Top 5 or Top 10 schemes to avoid utilising resources on the less important areas although they will still be considered in the plan.</p>	
<p><b><u>Determine targets for TIP (referencing existing strategies)</u></b></p> <p>RD outlined the three key themes of (i) Planning and Regeneration (ii) Skills and Education and (iii) Connectivity. He wanted the TIP to be transparent and measured with an evidence base for feasibility to increase investment. It was agreed that RO would issue a form to each Board Member for them to rank their top five ideas under each of the key themes. GT3 would then include these in the evidence base and feasibility work with the consultants to propose a finalised list of projects to be documented at the next Board Meeting. IJ asked for these ideas to be returned to RO promptly.</p>	<p><b>RO/ALL</b></p>

<p>WM suggested to consider how Covid-19 could change original plans and therefore have a different impact on the town.</p> <p>RH was aware that the retail industry had been badly affected by the pandemic and in the shorter term to address the issue of recovery.</p> <p>DG recalled that the government had announced £10m being offered for start-up businesses. He asked to consider looking at land as well as buildings for start-up businesses allowing them to expand in the area which will retain employment and encourage them to spend money in the town.</p> <p>IJ asked GT3 to consider peripheral sites to also be brought back into use.</p>	
<p><b><u>Update on capacity fund spend</u></b></p> <p>RO has arranged to speak with three designers to quote for putting the document together, logo design and overall brand development. Requests have also gone out to consultants for quotes to complete outline business quotes to support project shortlisting.</p> <p>Information on both of these will be collated and sent to the Financial sub-committee for final decisions.</p>	
<p><b><u>Update Work Programme</u></b></p> <p>RO had previously circulated the Work Programme.</p>	
<p><b><u>Future meeting dates</u></b></p> <p>Friday 29 May at 2.00-4.00 pm  Friday 26 June at 2.00-4.00 pm  Friday 24 July at 2.00-4.00 pm  Friday 21 August at 2.00-4.00 pm</p> <p>Format to be agreed closer to the dates.  Agree Vision for Stapleford  Agree key priorities/projects; test against themes of prospectus</p> <p>IJ asked Board Members to confirm their attendance at future meetings with RO.</p>	<b>ALL</b>

MEETING CLOSED AT 3.30 PM