AWSWORTH NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN – EXAMINER'S DRAFT REPORT FACT-CHECK

Page 28 – para 74 – Minor typo at start of para 74. Extra full stop, after number reference "74.." Consequently, 'A' at start of paragraph would then need to be left-justified. There is a general formatting issue – note that all paragraphs from 1 to 99 are fully justified but not thereafter.

Page 34 – Recommended modification 1: In Policy H1…..
Recommendation – refers to "in f)" - but relates to f)(i) but not specified which would be clearer?
Recommendation - "leading to" should be "leading" noting policy continues "…to the south…".
Recommendation - deleting "Newtons Lane" but not "Cossall" which is presumably intended?
Recommendation - after "enhance links that" insert "ultimately"- this relates to f)(vii) but not specified which would be clearer?

Page 36 – Recommended modification 2: In Policy H2…..
Recommendation 2nd bullet – refers to - and delete "price and". Presumably this should retain "and" in respect of wording that follows i.e. "….tenures specifically to meet identified local need"?
Recommendation 3rd bullet – would be clearer if this specified "In the third sentence…."?

Page 37 – para 100 – There is a general formatting issue – to note that from paragraph 100 onwards the first line of all paragraphs are indented to the right.

Page 40 – para 105 – 1st sentence – "home" should be "homes".

Page 42 – Recommended modification 3: In Policy H4…..
Recommendation 1st bullet – would be clearer if 1st bullet specified "in the first paragraph…."?

Page 44 - Recommended modification 4: In Policy BED1…..
Recommendation 1st bullet – would be clearer if 1st bullet specified "In the first paragraph…."?

Page 48 – para 126 – 4th sentence refers to 'Proposals Map' when it must intend 'Policies Map'.

Page 49 – Recommended modification 7: In Policy GI1….
Recommendation 2nd bullet – would be clearer if 2nd bullet added "….from final paragraph"?

Page 56 – para 143 – Refers to 'The <u>first sentence</u> of the policy has not been sufficiently justified and is not linked to development proposals'. However, Recommended modification 9 (Policy GI3) refers to "delete the first paragraph" which comprises <u>2 sentences</u>? It is assumed that reference to 'The policy is seeking to establish information requirements that are outside the statutory framework relating to local lists of information to be submitted in support of planning applications' is intended to respond to the 2nd sentence of the Policy's 1st paragraph and its deletion? In which case, it would be clearer if reference could be made in paragraph 143 to specify which part of policy this comment relates (i.e. second sentence)?

Page 56 – para 143 – States that 'The reference to Bennerley Coal Disposal Point site in particular has not been sufficiently justified'. To point out that para 8.32 of the Neighbourhood Plan specifically refers to 'A specific opportunity was wetland creation on the Bennerley Coal Disposal

Point Site' and was the reason for including specific reference to this site. However, it is assumed that this is not taken to provide sufficient justification?

Page 57 – Recommended modification 9: In Policy GI3…..
Recommendation 2nd bullet – could be clearer if it specified "in the second paragraph…"?
Recommendation 4th bullet – might be clearer if it specified "….from the fourth paragraph"?

Page 63 – Recommended modification 11: In Policy GI5…..
Recommendation 1st bullet – might helpfully specify "after list W1-W11…."?
Recommendation 2nd bullet – might helpfully specify "in last two paragraphs…."?

Page 64 – Recommended modification 12: In Policy CFS1…..
Recommendation 1st bullet – could make clear "in b)"?

Page 66 – Recommended modification 14: In Policy CFS3…..
Recommendation – whether first 2 bullets might helpfully specify "….in the second sentence"?
Recommendation – whether 4th bullet might helpfully specify "…from penultimate sentence" and 5th bullet "…from final sentence"?

Page 69 – Recommended modification 16: In Policy E2…..
Recommendation – in relation to "In Policy E2 part b)" this should refer to "In Policy E2 part a) after "adverse" insert "highway safety" and in part b) after "including" insert "through on-road".

Page 70 – Recommended modification 17: In Policy TT1…..
The 2 bullets should be re-ordered in line with ordering of policy wording, so that 'delete "along roads within the Parish"' comes before 'replace "encouraged" with "supported"'.

Page 72 – Recommended modification 18: In Policy TT2….
Recommendation 1st bullet – might helpfully specify "in the second paragraph…."?

Page 77 – Recommended modification 21: In Policy NC1….
Recommendation 1st bullet – might helpfully specify "in the first sentence…"?
No reference is made but as a consequence of deleting part d), retained part e) will need to be denoted part d).

Page 80 – Recommended modification 22: In Policy BCDP1…..
Recommendation - 1st bullet – As regards replacement wording for the first paragraph, whether reference to Policies Map should be consistent with original format i.e. to refer to "….(shown on the Policies Map and Figure 22)…."- rather than "…identified in……)"?
Recommendation – 2nd bullet – For the avoidance of doubt it would perhaps be clearer if the 2nd bullet recommendation read "delete the second paragraph, including criteria a) to d), and re-present it as an aim of the Neighbourhood Plan". The inference being that as an <u>aim of the Neighbourhood Plan</u> (and directly linked to Policy BCDP1 as recommended to be modified) this should/could be included in the main body of the Neighbourhood Plan (separately and suitably denoted, such as 'Aim NP1'), rather than being relegated to 'Appendix 1 – Awsworth Parish Projects', which are Parish

Council aims (denoted Aim APB1 and so on) that are not directly related to land-use planning or plan policies intended to provide a basis for determination of development proposals?

Recommended modification 24: Modify general text etc…
Should this modification also specifically refer to the Policies Map, in so far as any consequential modifications might prove to be necessary for consistency or to correct errors, which would be minor and technical in nature?

END